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Intioduction

In accordance ${ }^{\circ}$ ith the intent of compensatory educational programs supported by ESEA Title I funds, the Phoenix Union High School System has implemented supplementary programs during the' 1974-7S school year at a four of the District's,eleven' high schools: Carl Hayden, North, Phoenix Union, and South Mountain, and at the Continuing Education Center for dropouts and potential dropouts. St. Mary's High School, private high school, also participated in the Title I Program,

A primary thrust of the Title I project at the four District High Schools was a clinical reading program designed to alleviate reading deficiencies of target students as indicated by scores on the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills. The Iowa Test of Basic Skills - Reading Comprehension was used for evaluating students having a fifth through seventh grade . reading ability. The Bond-Balow-Hoyt Silent Diagnostic Reading Test was used with students having first through $\mathcal{L}_{\text {lourth }}$ grade reading ability. The Bond-Balow-Hoyt Silent Diagnostic Reading Test provides scores for three dimensions of a students's feading capability. They are, respectively: (1) word recognition, (2) recognition techniques, and (3) phonic knowledge. These indices relate to a studept's decoding skills.

The Iowa Tests of Basic Skills - Reading Comprehension"provides scores for that part of a student's reading performance ratiat-ing to-comprehension.


Carl Hayden and North High Schools also had supplementary compensatory programs in English/Writing and Mathematics. The Iowa Tests of Basic Skills - Test, $L$ and writing samples were used in evaluating the English/Writing programs. The Shaw-Hiehle: Individualized Computational Skills Test was yoed in the Mathematics programs.

The Continuing Education Center used the same instruments as the other target sites for Reading, English, and Mathematics. The Comprehensive fests of Basic Skills - Science and Social Studies were used tó evaluate progress in science and social studies programs at the Continuing Education Center.

Most stests were given out of level. : This means students were given tests which were normed for students in grade levels different from the grade ledvels of the students in the Title I Programs. This is appropriate wnen the students to be tested are either below or above national averages.

The total number of students served during the course of the program 'was $3,171$. . The: funds actually expended on the project through, May 30 , 1975, amounted to $\$ 585,133.00$ of a per pupil cost of $\$ 184!53$,

The data will be presented in the following order: Continuing Education Center, English/Writing Programs, Mathematićs Programs, and Reading Programs., Within each section the schoolé are included in the following qrder: Carl Hayden, North, Phoenix Union, South Mountain, and.St. Mary's.

Data from the classes from which Title I students were drawn *are included. The students in these classes benefited from Title I funds since the removal of the Title I students resulted in smaliler class sizes. These students are referred to as Indirect students. Students who 'received "Indirect Title I Âssistance" were below pational and local norms, but were not as deficient as "Direct". Title I students.

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

## ese title I program evaluation data sumanky report

(File a separate report for each project under your supervision.)
CHECK ONE:
X Regular Term $\qquad$ Summer Term
gat A: IDENTIFICATION OE. LOCAL' EDUCATIÓ AGENCY

1. Legal Name Phoenix Union Hf oh School System
2. Street Address 2526 West Osborn Road
3. City Phoenix $\qquad$ County Maricopa
$\qquad$
4. Zip Code

85017
Telephone Number 257-3131 <
5. Report Prepared by $\qquad$ Gary D. Estes, Ph. D.
6. Program Title Phoenix Union High School System

Title I Program, 1974-7,5
7. State Program Number $\qquad$ 75-016 $\qquad$
8. Was this a Cooperative Program?

Yes $\qquad$ No X
9. Did Non-Publiç School students participate in this" program?
$\qquad$ X No. $\qquad$
Names, of Participating ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Non-Public Schools:
St. Mary's High School
$\qquad$ .
10. Ethnic Background: For each category given below, record the total number of 'students served during the course of the program. (The total should equal total Program Participants, Number 11, Page 4)
 White ! 1,015 Other 95

## total or ail students served

prógram evaldátion dáta sumary repö́f. (continued)
11. Number of ProgramParticipants: For eachigrade level given below' includé every student who has 'been served, even if both pre-arid, post-test data are not available (count each child only once even if he has participated in more than one project):




## TOTAL OF STUDENTS INDIRECTLY SERVED

PROGRAM.EVALUATION DATA SUMMARY REPORT (continued)
11. Number of Program' Participants: For' each grade ilevel given below, Include every student who has been served, even if, both pre-and post-test data are not available (count each child only once even if he has participated in more than one project):


* Inclucles 9 th and 10th grade students enrollèd in ninth grade
geileral math


PART B-1: SUMMARY'OF STUDENT PERFORYANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTYYES,
(One copy of this page must be conipleted for-each-objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project 'Obyective No. 1.1... Instructional Aréa

Restate-the-profect performance objective as writzen in your application;
include the criteria for measurement:
Seventy-five percent of participating students will successfully complete the semester with credit in at least fifty percent of the classes in which each is enrolled.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the/percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the projegt. For example: results on a standardized acnievement test, an inforfal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use only the résult's' of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not fincluded in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).
MEASURE 1 MEEASURE 2a MEASURE 3

No, of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretest/
posttest students in the project

201

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Level of the Tcst

139


## PART B-1 (continued)

## 3. Narrative sumary:

A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this. project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have infiuenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any orher pertinent factors.
C. Check,here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more-detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

Sixty-nine percent of participating students received credit in at least fifty pexcent of the classes in which each was enrolled. The goal of seventy-five percent is unrealistic for this type of program.

Thirty-nine (39) students withdrew before completing the semester. Re-computing Objective 1.1 with these students removed indicates that $85.8 \%$ of the students, who completed the semester, received credif in at least half of their courses. It is recommended that the objective ofly include those students who complete the semester, i.e. do not withdraw.

Objective 1.1: PARTIALLY ACHIEVED

## PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDENT PERFORTANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program).
11. Project Objective No. 1.2B Instructional Area-…-. Reading (i.e., Reading, Math, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for meașurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Test of Basic Skills Level 11 Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade ninth month (6.9) level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the profect. Space-ks provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the profect: Fór example: results on a standardized acficement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at therteginning of the project if a pretest was not included, in the approved abjective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

MEASURE-1
No. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

MEASURE_2 MEASURE 3

## DIVIDED BY:

Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Level of the Test



PART B-1. Continued on reverse

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective:
i Cosment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefiy explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in aceivities, desired studene performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district. is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Seventy-two percent of the students gained at least one month for every month in the program on the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Fifty-nine percent of the students gained two or more months. for every month in the program.

- "It should be noted in interpreting the mean gains in Section B-2 that most of these students were not enrolled in Reading for a full year. Thus, gains from pretest to posttest are less than what would have been accomplished with students receiving a full year of Reading. The reasons for this ware: (1) students entered late, and (2)"students finished the class early.

The program, is highly successful as is. It is recommended the program be expanded to serve as many students as possiblé.

Objective 1.2B: ACHIEVED

PART B-2: MEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES

| 1. | These results correspond with Project Objective Number _ 1.2B |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CHECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.)(x) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> ( ) Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Criterion Referenced Measure <br> () Other (Specify)  |
| 3'. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be $\bar{r} e p o r t e d$ in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scale. (G.E. or' Stanines) is available for ${ }^{*}$ your measurement instrument, (a) desimate the meas乡rement scale in the left colunn under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medlan, and range of scores for that measurement scale.



PART B-2. MEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results cor |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | CIIECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure ${ }_{\text {: }}$ )(x) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> ( $)$ Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Criterion Referenced Measure |
|  |  |
|  | ublic School Student |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through - first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither, scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate-the measurement scale in the left column under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median and zange of scoves for that measurement scale.


## PART C-1: EFFICT OF TITLE I. INTERVENTION IN READING PRO.IFCTS .

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posteses scores.i".

|  | STUDENTS' AVERAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Renourted in Grade Equivalent Months or "Fractions' of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | .0 to <br> . 4 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & .5 \text { to } \\ & .9 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | $1.0 \text { to }$ <br> 1.4 Mon'th |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & 1.9 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Monitys |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {- }}$ | \% | N | $\therefore \%$ |  | \% |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | $\cdot$. |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | i |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  | - |
| 4 |  | ! | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | . . |
| 5 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | $\because$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | - | * |
| 7 |  | : | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{\prime} \times$ |
| 9 | 2 | 7\% | 1 | $4 \%$ | 1 | 4\% | $4:$ | 15\% | 3 | 11\% | 16 | 59\% | ' 27 |
| 10 | 6 | 16\% | 4 | 11\% | $3^{\prime}$ | 8\% | $4{ }^{-}$ | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 21 | 5, 5\% | 38 |
| 11. | 3 | 17\% | 1 | 6\% | 1 | 6\%, | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 12 | 67\% | $\rightarrow 1.8$ |
| 12 | 3. | 21\% : | 1 | 7\% | $0{ }^{\prime}$ | 0\% | 1 | 7\% | 1 | 7\% | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 57\% | 14 |
| TOLIL | 14 | 15\% | 7 | 7\% | 5 | 5\% | $10^{\circ}$ | 10\% | 4 | $4 \%$. | 57 | '59\% | CRRALD |

PART B-1: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON. PROJECT OBJECTIVES
done copy of this page , must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. 1.2 A Instructional Area

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have again of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Bond-Bajow-Hoyt Silent Diagnostic Reading; Test far students scoring at the first through fourth grade levels:

2: By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the th

- project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of . measures if they were fused in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a." * criteriot, referenced measure.

For this contpueation use only the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the post test (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not, included in the approved objective, as may have occurred. in some kindergarten and first grade components).
f pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

DIVIDED BY:
Total No, of pretest/ posttest students in the project
equals:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.
Name, Form -and level
of the Test


Bond-Balow-Hoyt, Silent Diagnostic
Reading Test.
PART B-1, Continued on reverse

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumnary:
A. Brifefly summarize the fesults obtained for this.project objective Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these'results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student-performance level, cholée-of-measurement instrument,or any other pertinent factors.
c. 'Check here $\qquad$ if'your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. "Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

On the Bond-Balow-Hoyt, $71 \%$ of the students gained at least one month for every month in the program on WORD RECOGNITION; $71 \%$ gained at least one fonth for every month in the program on RECOGNITION TECH-NIQUES:- $79 \%$ gained at least one month per month on PHONIC KNOWLEDGE. " The'se gains are especially significant when one considers the low starting point of these students.

Objective 1,2A: ACHIEVED

PART B-2: MEASUREMENI OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These, results. correspond with Project Objective Number ${ }^{\prime}$ 1.2A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CHECK OAE: (Use a Scparate page for each different type of measure.).(x) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory  <br> ( Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Criterion Referenced Measure <br> () Other (Specify)   |
| 3. |  |
|  |  |
|  | GRADE LEVEL: Designate one grade level iñ the box below |
|  |  |

* Pretest resultis may not be available for some pre-kinderganten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test, administration manual. Stanine results are requested elso if available. If ncither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurciment instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the' left column under the "Number or Students..." and (b) report' the 'mean, median, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

SPACE FOR MOİE GRADE Levels CONTINUED On EfVERSE



PART B-2: MTASTPEEMENT OF OBJECTIVES

| 1. | These results correspond with Project Objective Number , $1,2 \Lambda^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. |  |
| 3. |  |
|  | $\qquad$ Publitc School Students $\qquad$ N \& D Stadents $\therefore$ $\qquad$ Non pirublic School Students $\qquad$ State N \&iD Studeñes ${ }^{t}$ |
|  | $\cdots$ |
|  |  |

GRAD Level:
Designate one gradé level.in: the box below
*PRETEST $\cdot$ RESURTS

1. Record data 0MLY for those students who took prettest AND posttest.
. 0

| WORD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RECOGNITION |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| GRADE |  |  |
| LEVEL | 10 |  |

Number of
Students for whom there is a pretest score and a posttest scoie

- 3

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

* Pretest results may not be availiabie for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.

POSTİEST RESTULTS

** Rosluits MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the fest publisher's test ádministratión manual. Stanine results are requested also if avairabie. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is àvailable for your measurement instrument, (a) designite the measurement scone in the left colunil under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scorcs for that measurementiscalc.



PART B-2: MEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES


Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade prajects.
** Results musi be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisiner's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) dêsignate the measurement scale in the left, columu under the "Number of 'Student's..." and (b) report" the mean, median; and range of scares for that measurement scale.



## PART B-2: MEASUREMTNT OT OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number _1.2A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CHECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measurc.)(x) Standardized Achievement Test. ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> ( $)$ Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Criterion Referenced Heasure() Other (Specify) |  |
| 3. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | GRADE LEVEL: Designate one grade level in the box below | *PRETEST RESULTS <br> POSTTEST RESULTS <br> Record data OMLY for those students who took pretest AND posttest. |
|  | RECOGNITI <br> GRADE <br> LEVEL $\qquad$ |  |
|  | Students for whom there is a pretest score and a posttest score $\qquad$ 3 | GAIN PostTest Mean Grade Equiv** 3.43 <br> or $(-)$ Pretest Mean Grade Equiv** 2.87 <br> LOSS Gain ( + ) or Loss ( - ) $\quad\left(t^{*}\right) .56$ |

* Pretest results may not-be available for sone pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results muST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left columi under the "Number of Students. on"." $^{\text {and (b) report the mean, }}$ median, and range of scores for that measwement scale.




## PART C-1: EFFECT OF.TITLE I INTERVENTION IN READING PROJECTS

NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categorifes according to the average gain per month in a Tithe $I$ project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade cquivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page, DQ NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scořes.

BOND-BALÖWンHOYT WORD RECOGNITION


PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITLE I INTERVENTION IN READING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Dircetions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categorigs according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported/here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest/and posttest scofes;

- BOND - BALOW - HOYT RECOGNITION TECHNIQUES

|  | STUDENTS' AVERAGE MONTHLY GATN PER MONTH IN THE•PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\therefore \text { TOTAL }$ <br> STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <0 Months |  | .0 to <br> .4 Month |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | $1.0 \text { to }$ <br> 1:4 Month |  | $1.5 \text { to }$ <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or More \& Months |  | ```With Pretest and Posttest Scores``` |
|  | N | \% | N | $\%$ |  | \% | N | \% | $N$ | \% ${ }^{\circ}$ | N | \% |  |
| 1 | $\therefore$ DO NOT FILL IN THIS COLUAN, SEA WILL COMPILE DAE FOR FIRST GRADE STUDENTS FROM COIPLETED PART B-2 FORUS. ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | . |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | - |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | : |  |  |  |  | 。 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' | : | . | , |  | , |
| 9 | 2 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5. | 71\% | 7 |
| 10 | 0 | 0\% | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 3 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | ' 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 3 |
| TOTAL | 2 | .14\% | 1 | $7 \%$ | 1 | 7\% | - 1 | $7 \%$ | 1 | . $7 \%$ | 8 | 58\% | GRAND TOTAL 14 |

## PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITLE I INTERVENTION IN READING PROJECTS

NOTE: Ses Dircetions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. 'Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain. per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according

- to the directionts on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest and oposttest scores:

BOND $:-$ BALOW - HOYT PHONIC KNOWLEDGE

| $\begin{aligned} & G \\ & R \end{aligned}$ | STUDENTS' AVERAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cc} A & \\ D & L \\ E & E \\ & V \\ & E \\ & L \\ & L \\ & S \end{array}$ | (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions. of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | STUDENTS: |
|  | $<0 \cdot 0$ | niths |  |  | . 5 | to <br> Month |  |  |  | to <br> Month | 2.0 Mo Mon | ori | With Pretest and $\qquad$ |
|  | $N$ | $\%$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | Scores |
| DO NOT FILL IN THIS COLUNA, SEA FILLL COMPILE DATA FOR FIRST GRADE STUDENTS FROA COAPLETED PART B-2 FORIS. | DO NOT FILL IM THIS COLUN, SEA NILL COYPILE DATA FOR FIRST GRADESTUDENTS FROM COMPLETED PART B-2 FORIS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | . |  |  | - |  |  | . |  | , |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | . | - $\cdot$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | , |  | . |
| - 4 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | $\therefore$ |  | - $\because$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | - | . |  | $\because$ |  |  | - |  | ". |
| 6 |  |  | 1 |  | , |  |  | $\because$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | , |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\stackrel{*}{*}$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | ? | ' |  |  | $\because$ |
| 9 | i | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 29\% | $2$ | 29\% | 2 | 29\% | 17 |
| 10 | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | \% | $/_{1}$ | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 3 |
| 11" |  |  |  | $\therefore$ - |  |  |  |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | 0\% | $1$ | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 10\% | 130 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 3 |
| TOTAI. | 28 | 14\% | 1 | -- | 0 | 0\% | 2 | $14 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 29\% | 5 | 36\% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GRAND } \\ \text { TOTAL } \\ 14 \end{array}$ |

(One copy of this page sust be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ Instructional Area ENGLISH
(i.e;, Reading, Math, etc.) 5
Restate the project performance objective as written in your application;

- include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target stydents will make a gain of one month's progress in English skill per month in the program. as measured by pre-post scores on the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Teses $V$ and $L$, Level 12 .
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievemęt test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND théposttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective,' as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


35

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly sumnarize the results obtined for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have Influẹnced thesé results:
B. Driefly explitin the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here in your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected Efling date:.

The students at the Continuing Education Center reached the objectives, set for them, On the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, $62 \%$ gained at leasf one month for every month in the Vocabulary program, $57 \%$ gained at least one month for every month in the Language Program.

Again, mean gains do not include a full year between the pretest and the posttest. Students at the Continuing Education Center are prete'sted on entering... They are posttested. कhenever the course requirements are completed or at the end of the year.

Objective 1.3: ACHIEVED

PART B-2: MEASUREMENT OF' OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number $\qquad$ 1.3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | GRADE LEVEL: <br> Designate one grade levcl in the box below | *PRETEST RESUTS <br> POSTTEST RESULTS• <br> Record data ONLY for those students <br> who took pretest $A N D_{s}$ posttest. . |
|  | vOCABULARY <br> GRADE <br> LEVEL' 9 |  |
|  | whom there is. <br> a pretest-score and a posttest score 25 |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scalẹ (G.E. or Stanines.) Is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurenent scale in the left columan mer the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medtan, and range of scores for that meas arement scale.



## PART B-2: MFASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES



* Pretest results may/not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projectis.
** Results firust be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual." Stanme resuíts are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the. left'colum under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medfan, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

PART B-2: MEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES



PART B－2：NEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project objective Number－ 1.3 I |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |
| 3. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITME I INTFRVENTION IN ENGLISH PROJECTS
NOTE: Sec Directions" on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in English "urojects. Students are grouped in vatious categories according to the ayerage gain per montlioin a Title $I$ project. Gain is expreșsed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed acording to the directions on the reverse side of this page, Do NOT report the sinfple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

| $\begin{aligned} & G \\ & R \\ & A \end{aligned}$ | STUPEEMTS' |  |  | VERAGE HONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THEE PROJECT VOCABULARY. |  |  |  |  |  |  | + $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { STUDENTS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Reported in Grade Equìvalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | <0 | onths | . 0 | onth | . | to | '1.0 | to. |  | to |  |  | ```With Pretest and Yosttest Scores``` |
|  | N | $\%$ | N. | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $\cdots$ | . $\%$ | N | $\%$ |  |
| 1 | DO :igir FILL I: TiIS COLULA, SEA kILI CO:PIIE dATA FOR FINST GRADE STUDETSS FRON COMPLETED PART B-2 FORAS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | * |  | ** |  | - |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | ( |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | . | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 6 | * |  | ; |  | ~ |  | - |  |  | 1 |  |  | . |
| 7 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | ~ |
| 9 | 4 | 16\% | 1 | 4\% | 2 | 8\% | 3. | 12\% | 3 | $12 \%$ | 12. | 48\% | 25 |
| 10. | 4 | 12\% | 4 | 12\% | 4 | 12\% | 4 | 12\% | 2 | ${ }^{--}$ | 15 | 45\% | 33 |
| 11 | 4 | 20\% | 3 | 15\% | 2 | 10\% | 3 | 15\% | 2 | 10\% | 6 | $30 \%$ | 20 |
| 12 | 4 | $24 \%$ | 3 | 18\% | 1 | 6\% | 3 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | - 35 | 17 |
| TOTM. | 16 | $17 \%^{\prime}$ | 11 | 12\% | 9 | $9 \%$ | 13 | 14\% | 7 | $7 \%$ | 39 | 41\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ |

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITAE I INTRRVENTIO: IN ENGLISI PROJECTS
Notg: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in English Projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

| $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{G} & \cdots \\ \mathbf{R} & \cdots \\ \mathbf{A} & \\ \hline \mathbf{D} & \mathrm{~L} \\ \mathrm{E} & \mathbf{E} \\ \cdot & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{E} \\ & \mathbf{L} \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{array}$ | Students' average montily gain per monti in the projfct <br> LANGUAGE <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOEAL studenirs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | . 0 to . 4 Month |  | $.5 \text { to }$ <br> . 9 Month |  | $1.0^{\mathrm{t}}$ to <br> 1.4 Nonth |  | $1.5 \text { to }$ <br> 1.9 month |  | 2.0 or More Months |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { With } \\ \text { Pretest } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Posttest } \\ \text { Scores } \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N |  |  |
| 1 |  STUDE:TS FROA CDIMLETCD PRRT B-2 FORMS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 5 | , | , |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 。 |  |  | + |  |
| 9 | 4 | 16\% | 4 | 16\% | 3 | 12\% | 1 | 4\% | 3 | 12\% | 10 | 40\%, | 25 |
| 10 | 4 | 12\% | 5 | 15\% ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4 | 12\% | 5 | 15\% | 1 | $3 \%$ | 14 | 42\% | 33 |
| 11 | 3 | $15 \%$. | 2 | 10\% | 2 | 10\% | 4 | 20\% | 2 | 10\% | 7 | $35 \%$ | 20 |
| 12 | 6 | 35\% | 3 | 18\% | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 29\% | 17 |
| 2018L | 17 | 18\% | 14 | 1炒 | 10 | 10\% | 12 | $1.3 \%$ | ó | $6 \%$ | 36 | $38 \%$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { GRAND } \\ \text { TOMA } \\ \hline 95 \end{array}$ |

## PART P-1: SUNARY OF STUDENI PERFORMAMCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page must be completed for cach objective listed . In the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. 1.4 Instructional Area MATHEMATICS' (i.e., Reading, Mach, etc.)

Restate the profect performance-objective as writterin your application; include the criteria for masurenent:

Fifty percent of the participating target students" will make a gain of one month's progress in mathematics. skill per month in the program as measured by pre-post: scores on the Shaw-liehle: Individualized Computational Skills Tests for Mathematics.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were $\mu s e d$ in the project. (For example: results on a standardized achicvement pest, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced neasure.

For this computation use, ONLY the results of those students. who tool, both. the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enzolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occuried in some kindergarten and first grade components) ${ }^{2}$.

MEASURE 1
MEASURE 2
MEASURE 3
Wo. of pretest/posttest students reachisig the desired perfoxnance leivel.


DIVIDED BY:
Total No, of pretest/ posttest students in the project

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Levcl of the Test

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Coment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have inflyenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report: Expected filing date: $\qquad$
Elghty-seven percent of the students gained at least one month per month in the program. Of more importance, perhaps, is that 74\% gained two months for every month in the program. This is outstanding progress for average students and even more impressive for the student population here.

Objective 1.4-ACHIEVED.

PART B-2: MEASUREMENT OF OBJECTIVES


* Pretest results may not be.available for some pre-kindergarten chrough flrst erade projects.
** Results Nus'f be reported in grade equivalents if availaine fin the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requcsted also if available. If neithor scale (G.E. or Stanines) fis available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left columa under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medtan, and xayge of scores for thit measurement scale.



$\because$

NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Math projects. Squdents are grouped in various categories atcording: to the average gain per month in a title Fiproject. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The"data reported here MUST be computed according 'to the directions on "the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report' the simple differencebetiveen pretest and posttest scores.

|  | STUGENTS' AUERAGE NONHLLY GAIN PER MONZIT IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reporţed in Grade Equivalent Nonths or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <0 Months |  | .0 to <br> .4 Month |  | .5 to .9 Month |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } \\ 1.4 \text { Month } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & 1.9 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Months |  | With. <br> Pretest and Posttest Scores |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\%$ |  |
| 1 |  STLDEATS FROM CORLETED PART B-2 FORUS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | . |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4. |  |  |  | * | $\vdots$ | , |
| 4 | . ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdot$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | $\dot{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| 6. | , |  |  |  |  | ( |  |  |  |  |  | . . | * |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | " |
| 9 | 1 | - $3 \%$ | 1 | $3 \%$ | 1 | 3\% | 1. | 3\% | 2 | 7\% | 23 | 79\% | 29 |
| 10 | 2 | 6\% | 3 | \% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 25 | 74\% | 34 |
| 11 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 15\% | 3 | $15 \%$ | 13 | 65\% | 20 |
| $12{ }^{*}$ | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 12\% ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | 13 | 76\% | 17 |
| TOTAI. | 4 | 4\% | 5 | 5\% | 4 | 4\% | 5 | 5\% | 8 | 8\% | $\cdots$ | 74\%\% | GRAND TOXAL 100 |

## PART B-1: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PERFORUANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed In the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ 1.5 Instructional Area Science* (1.e., Reading, Nath, etc.)

Restate the project performanee objective, as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participatfing target students' will make a gafn of one month's progress in science skili as measured by pre-post scores on the Comprehengive Tests of Basic Skjals - Science.
2. By completing the blanks bclow, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured-at-the-end-of-the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures. if they were used in the project. For example; results on a standardized achievenent test, an informal reading inventory, or a critcrion referenced neasurc.
$\dot{F}$ For this computition use oNLy the results of those students whe took both the pretest AND the postrest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefiy sumarize the results obtained for this project objective. Connent on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activilies; desired student performance level, chofec of measurement instrument, or. any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a more detaild evaluation $\qquad$ Expected filing date:


Sizty-two percent of the participatimg target students gained at least one month for every month in the program. Fifty-three pexcent gained two or more months for every month in the program. These figures probably are deflated since several subject's reached the ceiling on the pretest and thus could not show iaprovement on the posttest:

It is recomended that students scoring at or near a ceiling on a pretest. be given a higher level of the test on .the posttest.

Objective 1.5-ACHIEVED

PART B-2 MEAŚURENENT OF OBJECTIVES:

|  | These results correspond. with Project Objective Number! 1,5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHECK ONE: (Use a scherate page for each different type of measure.) |  |
|  | CHECK ONE: (Use a separate page for Public School and Non-Yublic School$\qquad$ Public School Students $\qquad$ N 8 D Studente$\qquad$ Non-Puḅlic School-Stuilents $\qquad$ State" N \& D Studènts |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through .first grade projects.
** Results NUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manul. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neitier scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available "for your measurcment instrument; (a) designate the measurement scale in the left: eolumn under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, modan, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

| 4 b . |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| : |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kíndergarten tiarough first grade projects.
** Results MuSF be reported in grade equivalents fir, available in the test 'publisher's utest adainistration manuel. Stanine results are requested also if available. Tif nedtiet scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement seale in the left colum under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medtan, and range of scores/for that measurement scale.

PART C-1: EFTTCT OF TTME I INTERVETTON IN SCIENCE PROJECTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Science Projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivaleut months. The data reported here NUST be compuied accordíng to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.


PART B-1: SUMMARY OF STCDEITT PERFOPYIANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIUES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in. the approved program)

1. Project objective No: $\qquad$ Instructional Area Social Studies (i.e., Reading, Math, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will make a gain of one month's progress in social studies skili per month in the program is measured by pre-post scores on the Comprehensive Tests of Basic Skil1s - Socianlstudjes.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the peffortiance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on đinferent sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example; results on a standardized achievenent test, an finformal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced meaccure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the postiest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

MEASURE 1
MEASURE 2
HEASURE 3
No. Of pretest/posttest
students reaching the desired performánce level.

44
DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project

Equals:
lercentage of students reaching, the desircd performance level.

Name, Form and Level
of the rest


CTBS - Snrial Studich Form S, Level 2

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumnary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this projgct objective. * Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B.

Briefly explatn the steps you would take to fmprove thite project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors
c. Gheck here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Sjxty-cight percent of the students met the objective, which is encouraging. Fifty-five percent gained two or more months for every month in the program. These figures probably are deflated since several student's reached the test ceiling on the pretest and thus could not show inprovement on the posteest.

It is reçomended that students who achieve at or near the ceiling on a pretest be posttested with a higher level test.

Objective 1:6: ACHIEVED




* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first.grade projects.
** Results NUST be reported in grade equivalents \& a avallable in the test publisher's test adminjostration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither, scale (G.E, or Stanines) is availablè for your manaurment incdrument, (a) desigatate the measurement scale in the left column tuder the "Nurber of Students..." and (b) report the wean, median, and range of scozes for that measurement scale.



PART B-2: MEASUREABAT OF OBJECTIVES


Pretest results may not be available' for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results fust be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publicher's tust administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if avatiabte. If neither scale ( $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{F}$. or Stanines) is available for your measurcunt instrument, (a) destinate the measurement scate in the Iefl colum momer titu "Number oi Students..." and (b) "report the mean," medan, and rabe of sonefs for that weasurement scale.

## DIRECT TITLE I - SOCIAL STUDIES <br> CONLIRUING EDUCATION CENTER <br> COMPREHENSIVE LESTI OF BASIC SKIULS

PART C-1: EFFECT OE:TITTA: I INTERVENTION IN SOCTAL STUDIES PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Social Studies projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of.grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretcst and postest scores.

$\square$

## PART B-1: SURGARY OF STUDENT RERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page must pe completed for each objective listed In the approved program)

1. Project objective No. 1.1 Instructional Area $\frac{\text { English/Vriting }}{(1 . e ., \text { Reading, Hath, etc.) }}$

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the designated target student's assigned to the English/Writing clinicic will make a.gain of one month's progress in skill per month in the program as measured by the pre-post scores of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or, a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those'students who took'both the pretest AND the postcest (or who were enrolied at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

MEASURE 1 MEASURE 2 MIEASURE 3
No. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance hevel.

122
DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project .. EQUALS: $\qquad$ Percentage of students reaching the desited performance levelo $71: \%$ $\qquad$
Name, Form and Level of the Test

IONA TEST' OF BASIC SRTHTS:LANGUAGE
Forms. 5 \& 6 , Levols $10 \& 12$
PARI' B-1 Continued on revcrse
Carl ${ }^{*}$ Hayden High School ${ }^{\circ}$
North Iligh School

## PART B-1 (continued)

3. Narrative summary:
```
\because% \therefore=>
```

A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comanent on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve, this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: 15 September 1975

Seventy -one percent of the participating Title I students gained at least one month for every month in the program as measured by the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L.

## Objective 1.1-ACIISEVED

PART G-1: EFFROT OF TITLE I INTERVENIION IN ENGLISH/WRITING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Dircctions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in English/Writing projects. SLudents-are-groped in various caregories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressèd 'in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be coffputed according ; to the directiont on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scofes.


| $\backslash 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\therefore 2$ |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | \% |  | $\therefore$ | .. |  |  |  | $\because$ |
| 3 |  |  |  | - | . | , | : |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | - |  |  | . |  |  |  | ! | ': |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{6}$ | , |  |  |  | 1 | * |  |  |  |  | . | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 7 | - | - $\cdots$ |  | -- | 97 | - |  | - |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 8 | . |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | 勺 |  | . |  | $\because$, |  |  |
| 9 | 18 | 10\% | 18 | 10\% | 14 | 8\% | ?2 | 13\% | $20$ | 12\% | 80 | 47\% | $\begin{array}{r} a \\ 172 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| . 10 | 8.. | . | $\cdot \cdot$ | $\therefore$ | '- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  | - | $\therefore$ | . |  |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| -12 |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | --- -- |  | - | $\cdots$ |  | - | . . . | : |  |
| TOMA. | 18 | 10\% | 18 | - $10 \%$ | 14 | $8 \%$ | 23. | 13\% | 20 | 12\% | 80 | 17 | GRAND TOTA. <br> 172 |

Carl Hayden lligh School
North ligh School
66

PART BAL: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program);

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application;
include the criteria for masurement:
Fifty percent of the designated target students assigned tod the English/Writing clinic will make a gain of one month's progress in skill per month in the program as measured by the pre-post scores. of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L.
2. By completing the blanks below report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the.:" project, Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used-in the project. For example: results on al. standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use-oNzy the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or, who were enrolled at the beginning of . : the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


## PART B-1 (continued)

3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any.factors of the testing or of the profect that have influenced these results.
: B. Bricfly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report'. Expected filing date: 15 September 1975

Forty-eight percent of the students in Indirect English çlasses gained at least one, month per month in the program. It would seen that additional he 1 p should be provided for these studeats, as posisible.

Objective i. $1:$ NOT ACHIEVED

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITEE I INTERVENTION IN ERGLISH/WRITING PROJEGTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in stucent parformance in English/Writing Projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade in equivalent months. The date reported here MIST be computed according to the-drwections-on-the-reverse-sidecofthis-page-Do_NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

IOWA GeST OF BASTG SKILLS - TEST L

|  | STUDEAHS' AVERAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> > : (Reported in Grade Equivalent Monṭhs or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL STUDENTS <br> - With <br> Pretest and Posttest Scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\text { <0 Months } \begin{gathered} .0 \text { to } \\ 8.4 \text { Month } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | 1.0 to <br> 1.4. Month |  | 1.5 to <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or More Month's |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | $\%$ | : | \% | * | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| . 1 | DO NOT FILL I: THIS COLUNA, SEA NILL COIPILE DATA FOR FINST GRADE STUDENTS FROM COMPLETED PART B-2 FORSS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | $\cdots$ | , | $\cdots$ |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | : |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | i |  | - |
| 5 |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  | . |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | ..' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 8 |  |  | $=$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ' |
| 9 | 67 | 14\% | 96 | 20\% | 87 | 18\% | 67 | 14\% | 41 | 8\% | 124 | 26\% | 482. |
| 10 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ! |  |  |  | - |  | $\cdots$ |
| TOTA. | 67. | $14 \%$ | 36 | $20 \%$ | 87 | 18\% | 67 | 14\% | 41 | 8\% | 124 | $26 \%$ | GRAN TONA 482 |

Carl Ilayden High School
North High School

PART BAl: SUMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective Nor $\qquad$ 1.1 Instructional Area English/Writing (ie., .Reading, Math, etc.) Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the designated target students assigned to the English/Writing clinic will make: a gain of one month's progress in skill per month in the program as measured by the pre-post scores of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results in a. standardized achievement test, an informal redding inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of. the project/if a prefect was not included in the approved objective, as


## PART B-1 (continucd)

## 3. Narrative sumary:

A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective, Comnent on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced thase results. $\leqslant$.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, destred student performance level, choice of measurement. instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here _X_ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: September 5, 1975 .

Fifty-eight percent of the students miet the stated objective, Considering the low starting point of these students (mean pretest score $=3.66$ ), this result is very encouraging.

Objective 1.1-ACHIEVED

PART B-2: MEASURAMENT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number _ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. |  |
| 3. | CHECK ONE: (Use a Separate page for Public School and Non-Public School Students.) $\qquad$ Public School Students $\qquad$ $N \& D$ Students . $\qquad$ Non-Public School Students $\qquad$ State N. \& D Students |
|  |  |
| 4 a . |  |
|  | GRADE LEVEL: $*$ PRETEST RESULTS <br> Designate one  <br> grade level in  <br> the box below $\quad$Record data ONLY for those estudents |

## PART C-1: EFEFCT OF TTTME I INTERVENTION IN ENGLISH/WRTIING PROJECTS

NOTE: See Directions on Reverse.
This chart displays gains in student performance in English/Writing Projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title $I$ project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent montis. -The da Ea repored here MOST be conpured according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report.the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

PART. B-1; SUMNARY OF STUDENT PERFORUANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. 1.1_Instructional Area English/Writing
(fi:e., Reading, Math, etc.)
Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the designated target students assigned to the English/Writing clinic will make a gain of one month's progress in skill per month in the program as measured by the pre-post scores. of the Iowa Tests of Basic Slijlls, Test Lí
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different"sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a. standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a . criterion referenced teasure.

For this computation use 0NLY the results of those students who took both the pretest Aid the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


PART B-1 Continued on reverse

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective.
$\stackrel{F}{5}$ Coment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have Influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the step's you' would take to improve-this project such as changes in activities, desired-student performance level, chote of measurement instrument, or any other pectinent factors. Check here $\frac{X}{}$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: 15 September 1975... Alchough starting at a higher point than Direct Titien students, Indirect Tition English students did not make gains as great as the $D_{1-e c t ~ T i t l e ~ I ~ E n g l i s h ~ s t u d e n t s ~ d i d . ~ T h e s e ~ r e s u l t s ~ a r e ~ e v i d e n c e ~}^{\text {a }}$ of the possible effects of Direct fttle-I-intervention.
Objective 1.1: NOT ACHIEVED

PART $\mathrm{B}-2$ : MEASUREVENT OF OBJECTIVES


* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten throukh first grade projects.
** Results MOST, be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Staninc results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is àvailable for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale, in the left column under the "Wumber of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scores for that measurement scale.,

PART C-1: EFFICT OF TITLE I INTERVENTTON IN ENGLISH/WRITTING PROEECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student perfornance in English/W:iting Projeets. Students are grouped in various categories according to the averagn gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade
equivalent nonths. The data repdrted here MUST be computed according
to the directions on the reverse side-of this page--Bo-NOT-report-the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores;

| $\begin{cases}G & \\ R & \\ A & \\ D & -L \\ E & E \\ & V \\ & E \\ & \text { L } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \end{cases}$ | STUDE <br> $<0$ Months |  | TTS' | RAGE <br> port | MONT1 <br> in <br> r Fr | GAI <br> rade <br> tions | PER <br> Equiva of Mon | NTH <br> nt ths) |  | PROJE <br> --... | T. |  |  | ; TOTAL STUDENTS Wíth Pretest and Postrest Scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | .0 to <br> . 4 Month |  | $\frac{.5 \text { to }}{.9 \text { Mgrith }}$ |  | $\frac{1.0 \text { to }}{1.4 \text { Mon'th }}$ |  | 1.5 to |  | $2.0{ }^{\circ}$ or |  |  | WíthPretestQandPosttestScores |
|  |  |  | 1.9 Month ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | More Months |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{N}$ | \% |  |  | N | $\%$ | N | \% $\%$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N |  |  |  |
| 1 | DO NOT FILL IN TiIS COLUR, SEA NILL COMPILE DATA FOR ETI STUDENTS FROII COMPLETED PART 3-2 FORIS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |
| $3:$ |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . 4 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | $c$ |  |  |  | $\because$ | - |  |  | \% |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | . |
| 7 |  | , |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | r ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | - | , |  |  |  | . |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | - |
| 9 | 31 | 14\% | 75 | 36\% | 48 | 23\% | 30 | 14\% | 10 | 5\% | 16 | 8 |  | 210 |
| 10-- | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | '. |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  | 1 |
| 12 |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 TAL | 31 | 1.4\% | 75 | $36 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} \ddots \\ 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $23 \%$ | 30 | 14\% | 10 | 5\% | 16 | $8 \%$ |  | GRAND <br> TOTATO <br> 210 |

## PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUUENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page munt be completed for éach.objective listed in the approved program)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; inclide the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the designated target students assigned to the Eng.lish/Writing clinic will make a gain of one month's progress in skill per month in the program as measured by the pre-post, scores. of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met
the-performane-criteria of the objective as_measured at the end of the project: Space is' provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example:, "results on a standardized achievemert test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have. occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

## MEASURE 1 , MEASURE 2 MEASURE 3

No, of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

90
DIVIDED ${ }^{-1}$ BY:
Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project

EquaLS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performanef. level.

Name, Form and Level -of the Test


## Part $\dot{B}-1$ (continued)

3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly sümarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing br of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve -this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $X$. If your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: 15 September 1975

Seventy-seven percent of the students met the stated objective. The mean gain was 7.7 months. Since the English program at North High School was a one semester (four months) program, these results are highly encouraging. Furthermore, only $65 \%$ of the Indirect students gained at least one month foot every month in the program.

Objective 1.1 - ACHIEVED

PART: B-2: NEASURFIENT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number . I |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CILEX ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.) <br> (X) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> ( ) Standardized Diagnostic Test ( )-Criterton-Refermetedteasure |  |
|  | (.) :Other' (Specify) |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | OR - |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be 'available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects...
*** Resul.ts MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in thẹ test. publisher's test admitiseration manual. Starine restht's are requested also if available. If neither scale ( $G . \mathcal{E}_{\text {. ot }}$ Stanines) is avaifable for
* Your measurcment instryment, (a) designate the measurenent scale in the lefe columi under the "Number of Students..." apd, (b) repert the mean, median, and ramge of scores for that measurement scale.

PART C-1: EFFECT, OF'gITLE I INTRGVENTION IN ENGLRSH/WRTTING PROTECTS NOTE: See Diractions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Englísh/Writing projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain. per month in a litle I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivilent-months. 'Are-data-reported-here-ifusf'be-computod-acsearding to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOM report the simple difference befiwéen petest and postest scores.


PART BR: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed
in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No, $\qquad$ 1.1. Instructional Area English/Writing (1.e., Reading, Math, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Inciude'the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the designated target students assigned to the English/Writing clinic will make a gain of one month's progress in, skill per month in the program as measured by the.pre-post scores of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Test L .
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different-setes-of measures if they were used in the project. For example f results on a standardized achicverient test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest Ait the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as fay have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components),

PAR'S Bul Continued on reverse

## PART B-1 (contfnued)

3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly sumarize the eesults obtained for this project objective. Coment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps'you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrment, or"any other pertinent factors. 1
C. Check here $X$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: 15 September 1975 .

Sixty-five percent of the participating Indirect students gained at least one month for every month in the program as measured by the ITBS, Test L.

Objective 1.1: ACHIEVED

## PART B-2: ' MEASURFAEIT OF OBJECTIVES

1.1

2

| $\sqrt{1 / i}$ | These results correspond with Project objective Number _ 1.1 - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CHECK OAE: (Use a separate page for each differet type of measure.)(X) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> () Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Eriterion Referenced Measure() other (Specify) |

3. CHECK Oint: (Use a separate page for Public School and Non-Pubilic School

## INDIREC'~TITLE I - ENGLISII /WRITING NORTH HLCH SCHOOL ITBS LANGUAGE

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITIE I INTERVENTION IN ENGLISH/WRITING PROJECTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in English/Writing Projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Tithe I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months $\therefore$ The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.



PART B-1: SUMMARY OF, STUDENT PERFORNANCE OH PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. • 1.i Instructional Area Math
(i.e., Readiag, Math, ett.)

Restate the project performance objective as written-in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Utilizing an-individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during the 1974-75-sehol year, fifty percent-of the students in-the Title I target group will make a gain of one month's progress per month in the program as measured by pre and post-scores using the Shaw-Hiehle:Individoalized Computationat Skills Test, Forns A and B.
2. By completing the blanks below, rẹport the percentage of students who met the performence criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show perceatages on different. sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized acilicvement test, an informaz reading inventory; or a çiterion rẹferenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest Ald the postiest (or who were enrofled at the beginning of the project if a pretes: - is not included in the approved objective; as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing of of the profect that have influenced these results. $\because$
B. Briefly explifin the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in-activities, desired student performance level, choice-of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ . if your district is preparing a more detailed evalluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

Eighty-three percent of the participating Title I students gained at least one month per month in the program as measured by the shawilliehle. only $60 \%$ of the Indirect students made compasable gains.
$\square$

Objective 1.1 - ACHIEVED

## DIRECT TITLE I - MATH

ROTALS'
SHAN-MLEHLE

## PART C-1: FFFFCO OF TTTLS: I INTFRTENTTON IN IATII PROJECTS

NOTR: 'See Directions on Reverse;
This clart displays gains in student performance in liath projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Galn, is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here RUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

| $\begin{aligned} & G \\ & R \\ & A \\ & A \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | THE PROJECT |  |  |  | TOTAL. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Monthis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < 0 | nths. | . 0 | 'i |  | onth | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | to <br> onth | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \\ 1.9 ? \end{gathered}$ | to <br> onth |  |  | With Pretes't and $\qquad$ |
|  | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | Scores |
| 1 |  STUDELTS FROM COATYETED PART B-2 FORMS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\because$ |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | : |  | . . | ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  | - | - |
| 4 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7{ }^{\circ}$ |  | ' |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 1\% | 8 | 7\% | 12 | -10\% | 12. | 10\% | 15 | 12\% | 72 | 60\% | '120 : |
| -10 |  | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ; | , |
| -11 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| - 12 |  |  | - .-- |  | :-- | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - -- - - |
| TOTA. | i | 1\% | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 7\% | 12 | 10\% | 12 | 10\% | 15. | . $\mathbf{1} 2 \%$ | 72. | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { RRND } \\ 102 A M \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ |

Carl Hayden High Sciool
North High School

## INDIRECT TITLE I - MATII

$$
\because \quad \cdot \frac{\operatorname{TOXAL}}{}
$$

PART B-1: SUMARY OF STUDENT PERFORXANC' ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One cópy ;of this page must be completed for each objective' listed in the approved program)

1. Project"Objective No. $\qquad$ Instructional Area
Math
(i.e., Reading, Nath; etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Include the criteria for measurenenc:

Utilizing an individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during the 1974-75 school year, fifty percent of the students in the Title I target group will make a gain of one wonth's progress per month in the progran as measured by pre and post seores using the Shaw-Hiehle: Individualized Computational. Skills, Test, Forms A and B.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the porformance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective. Coment on any factors of the testing or of che project that have Influenced chese results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this profect such as changes in activities, desired studenteperformance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here _if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Fifty-fourspercent of the Indirect students met the stated objective.

## INDTRECT T'T'ZEE T - MATHI

## COTAS <br> SHAN-HIEHLS:

PART C-1: EFFRCT OF TITLE I INFRRVFNTION IN MATH PROJHCTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Math projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gin per month in a Title I project. .Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here. MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side' of this page. Do NOT report the, simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

|  | STUDENTS' AVÉRAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTALSTUDENTSWithPretestandPostestScores. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0 \text { to } \\ & .4 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | ; 5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | 1.0 to 1. 4 Mointh |  | 1.5 to <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or <br> More Months |  |  |
|  | N . | \% | $\stackrel{1}{N}$ | \% | N | \%. | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1 | DO NOT FILL IN TH LS COLWAO SEA WILL COATILE DA FOR FIKAS GRADE STUDENTS FROA COAPLETED PART. B-2 FORYS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  |  |  |  |  | - | " |  |  |  |  |  | '* |
| 4 |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | 1 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | , |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | - |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | $\therefore$ ' |  | , |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%. | - |  |  | - |  | 1 |
| 8 |  |  | . |  | , | - |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 162 | $20 \%$ | 90 | $11 \%$ | 113 | $14 \%$ | 117 | 15\% | 93 | 12 \% | 225 | $28 \%$ | 800 |
| 10 |  |  | ? |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| 11. |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 12 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | . |  | $\cdots$ | - |  | $\therefore \therefore-\ldots$ |
| TOTAL | 162 | 20\% | 90 | 11\% | 113 | 14\% | 117 | 15\% | 93 | 12\% | 225 | 28\% | GlaND <br> TOTAL - <br> 800 |

Garl Hayden lligh School
North ligh School
（One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program）
＂1．Project Objective No，＿1，́﹎ Instructional Area Math
（iv：，Reading，Math；etc．）
Restate the project performance objective as written in your application； include the criteria for＂measurement：

Utilizing an individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during the 1974－75 school year，fifty percent of the students in the Title I． target group will make a gain＇of one month＇s progress－per month in the program as measured by pro and post scores using 觔他 Shaw－iehle：
Ind juidualized Computational Skills Test，Forms A and ${ }^{\text {B }}$ B
2． $\mathrm{By}_{\mid}$completing the blanks below，report＇the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project．Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of ： measures if they were used in the project．for example：results on a ． standardized achievement test，an informal reading inventory，or a criterion referenced measure．

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest（or who were enrolled at the beginning of ＇the project if．a pretest．was not included in the approved objective，as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components）．


## PART R-1 (continued)

## 3. Narrative summary:

A. Briefly summarize, the tesults obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of racasurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.,
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluailion report.! Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

The results of the mathematics program at Carl Hayden High School were favorable. Seventy-three percent reached the desired goal. Forty-eight percent of the students gained two or more months for every month in'the "program;, "Note that only $55 \%$ of the Indirect students gained at least one month per month. in the program.

Objective 1.1 -ACHIEVED

-• • $\quad \vdots$.
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PART B-2:* NEASUREILNT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results coírespondo with Project Objective Number $\frac{1.1}{2}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. |  |
| 3. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## SIIAN-ILEIHLE

## PART C-1: EFFECT OF TTTUE I INTERVENTTON TN MATI PROTBCTS

NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This.chart displays gains in stodent performance in Math projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain peíimonth in"a Tille I project, Gain is expressed in terms oí grade equivaleite months. The data reported here MUS'T be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. . Do NO1 report the simple difiference between precest and pusteest scores.

-


$$
\begin{aligned}
& .4 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

PART B-1: SU:MAEY OF STUIERT PERFORYAICE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective. listed ; or in the approved program)

1. Project, Objective \%o. 1.1 Instructional Area $\frac{\text { Nath }}{\text { (1.e., Reading, Hath, etc.) }}$

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Utilizing an individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during the 1974-75 school year, fifty percent of the students in the Tirle $\mathbb{I}$.. target group will make a gain of one month's progress per month in the program as reasured by pre and pos: scores using the Shaw-Hiehle: Individualized Computational Skills Test, Forms A and B.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the perforance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sers of measures if chey were used in the project. For ezample: results on a standardized achievement test, an intoraal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest ADD the postrest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred'in some kindergarten and first grade components).

PAEI l3-1 Continucd on revarse

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective. Coment on any factors of the testing or of the preject that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project suchras changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of mealsurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your'district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .
$\qquad$

Fifty-five percent of the Indirect students met the stated objective for mathematics.

Objective 1.1-ACHIEVED

|  | Thest results correspond with Projece objective Humber _1.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CHECK O:EE: (Use a Scparate page lor each different tyde of measure.)(X) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> ( ) Standardized Diagnostic Test ( ) Criterion Referenced Measure <br> ( Other (Specify)  |  |
| 3. |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | APreTcstShaw-HichlePostrestShaw-Hichle |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | GRADE <br> Level $\qquad$ 9 <br> Number of Students for whom there is a pretest score and a posttest score <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> 675 |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be avajlable for sone pré-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Result: MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test admlnistration manual. Stanine results are requested also if avatlable. If neither scale (G.E. or Stamines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scate in the left colum under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medlaw, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

PART C-I\% EFFECT OF TITLE I INTERUNTION IN MATI DROJECRS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse : A.
This chart displays gai $\cos$ in student'performance in Math projects. Students are grouped in various categorics according to the average gain per month in a Title Ifproject. Gain is expressed in terms of grade. equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the

- simple difference betwén pretest and postest sceres.



## PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDEIT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBTECTIVES

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed

- . In the approved program)

1. Profect Objective No $\qquad$
1.1

Instructional Area Math
(i.e., Reading, Nath, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written'3n your application; include the criteria for measurenent:

Utilizing an individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during

- the 1974-75 schonl year, fifty percent of the students in the Title I target group will make a gain of one month's progress per month in the program as measured by pre and post scores using the Shaw-Hichle: Individnalized Computational Skills Test, Forms A and B.

2. By completing the blanks below, report the pefcentage of students who mot the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project.' Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they ware used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For chis computation use ONLY the results of those-students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kiffdergarten and first grade components).

Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Level of the Test


PANT R-I Continued on reverse
3. Narrative summary:

A, Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective, Comant oh any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities; desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent, factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detalled s evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$

The results of the mathematics program at North High School are highly' encouraging, Ninety-four percent of the Title I students gained at least one month for every montin in the program compared with $50 \%$ of

- 'the Indirect students. Furthermore, $75 \%$ of the Title I students gained. 2.0 or more months for every monch in the program compared to $23 \%$, for the Indirect students.

Objective 1.1-ACHzEVED

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Nurber |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade project's.
** Results NuST be reported in grade equivalents if availabie in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if avallable. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is availabic for your measurement instrument; (a) designate the measurement scale in the
- Jeft columa mder the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, om medfan, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

103. 

NOTE: See Directions, on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Math projects.
Students are grouped in various categories according to the average ghin per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here HuST be computed according to the difections on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postitest scores.


## PART. B-İ: SUAMLRY OF STUDENT PERFORUNCE OU PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy. of this page must be complëted for each objective liseted In the approved program

1. Projèct Objëctive Ne. Instructional Area

Math
(i..e., Reading, Math, etc.)

Restate the proyect performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement: Utilizing an, individualized diagnostic and prescriptive approach during the 1974-75 school year, fifty percent of the students in the Title I target group will make a gain of one month's progiress per month in the program as measured by pre and post scores using the Shaw-Hiehle: Individualized Computational ${ }^{\circ}$ kills Test, Forms A and B.

By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end.of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different séts of measures if they were used in'the project. For example: rksults on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, of a criterion referenced measure

For this computation use ONLY the results of those stiudents who took, both the pretest ADD the postest (or who were enrolled at the begiming of the project if a pretest was notincluded in the approved objective; as may have occurfed in soma kindergarten and first grade components).


PART R-1 Continued on reverse


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Br. fly sumarize the results obtained for this project obfective. Confont on any factors of the testing or of the project that havt influenced these results.
B. "Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve'this project such as changes in activitics, desired student performance level, choice of measurcaent instrument, or any other pertinent factors',
C. Check here, if your district is preparing a more detailed .... . evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ $:$

Fifty-two percent of the Indirect students"gained at least one month for eacin month in tile program as measured by pre and posttest: scores on the Shatr-Hiehle.



Pretest results may not be avallable for some pre-kindergarten throdigh first grade projects.
** Results NuST be reported in grade cquivalents if available in the test publisher's test adiginistration manual. Stanine resulits are requested also if avallable. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement siajle"in the left colum under the "Number of Students..." and (b) reporit the mean, medtan, and range of seores for that measurement scale.

$$
107
$$

## PART C.-1: EFFECT OF TTTT.E. I INTERUENTTON IN MATH PROIECTS

NOTE: Sce Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Math projects. Students, are grouped in various categories according to the average gain 'per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do. NOT report the simple differehce between.pretest and postest'scores.

| $\begin{array}{ll} G & - \\ R & - \\ A & \\ D & L \\ E & E \end{array}$ | STydents'. AVERAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE RROJECT <br> 7. (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractinns of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0 \text { to } \\ & .4 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | $.5 \text { to }$ <br> 9 Month |  | 1.0 to 1.4 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & 13 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Months" |  | With Pretest and <br> Posttest Scores |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | N | \% | N |  |  |


| 1 | DO NOT FILL IN TiIIS COLUNA, SEA' VILL COAPILE DATA FOR FIR'ST GRADE STUDENTS FROM COMLETED PART BL2 FORIS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  |  | . | -• |  | ; | $\cdots$. |  | . |  |  | -- |
| 3 | $\cdots$ 。 |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |
| 4 | . |  | . |  |  | $\because$ : |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| $5{ }^{\circ}$ |  | . | , |  |  | , |  |  |  | , |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 6 |  |  |  | * |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | $\therefore$ |  | - | . | * | $\because$ | - | ' |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | ' |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 9 | 24 | 19\% | 16 | 13\% | 20 | $16 \%$ | - 25 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $16^{\prime}$ | 13\% | 24 | 19\% | 125 |
| 10 |  | . |  | . | $\because$ | 0 | - | . . |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} 1$ |  |  | $\therefore$ | , | $:$ |  |  |  |  |  | , | : | $\cdots$ |
| 12. |  | $2$ |  |  |  |  | . |  | $i$ | $*$ |  |  | $\cdot 1$ |
| "OMAL | $1 / 24$ | 19\% | 16 | 13\% | 20 | 16\% | 25 | \% $20 \%$ | 16 | 13\% | 24 | $19 \%$ | CRAND TOTAL 125 |



PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDENT PERFORAANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must completed for each objective listed in the approved program)'.

1. Project Objective No. 1.1B Instructional Area Reading (i.e., Reading, Nath, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post'rẹading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills - . Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth month (6.9) level.
2. By completing the blaniks belc $t$, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of weasures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the postcest (or who were enrodled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as. may have occurred in some-kindergarten and first grade components).


Carl Hayden High School
PART B-1 Continued on reverse
North High School
Phoenix Union Bigh School
South Mounta:n High School

## PART B-1 (continued)

3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective, Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced thase results:
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to dmprove this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ 2if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: Approximately 61\% of the students in Title I Reading classes at the four district high schools gained at least one month in test score for each menth in the program.

These results are very positive in that students who had performed below'average for at least eight years were able to make average progress with the Title I asaistance.

## Objective 1.18: ACHIEVED

PART C-1: EFFFCT OF TITTIF I INTERVLNTION IN READING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title $I$ project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report thesimple difference between pretest_and posttest scores.

IONA TEST. OF BASIC SKILLS, LEVEL 11

| $\begin{array}{ll}G & \\ R & \cdot \\ A & \\ D & L \\ E & E \\ & V \\ & E \\ & L \\ & \text { S } \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array}$ | STUDENTS' AVERAGE NONTHLY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> STUDENTS $\qquad$ <br> Wi.th <br> Pretest and Posttest. Scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <0 Months |  | .0 to <br> . 4 Month |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | $1.0 \text { to }$ <br> 1.4 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to. } \\ & \text { 1.9 Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Months |  |  |
|  | N , | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| 1 | DO NOT FILL IN THIS COLUNA. SEA FILL COMPILE DATA FOR FIRST GRADE STUDESTS FROA COSPLETED PART B-2 FORIS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  | , |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - . |
| 7 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | . . |
| 8, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |
| $\because 9^{\circ}$ | . 52. | 17\% | 38 | $12 \%$ | 29 | 9\% | . 38 | -12\% | 41 | 12\% | 114 | 37\% | 312 |
| . 10 | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | - 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0. | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 6 |
| 11 | 2 | 50\% | $0{ }^{4}$ | 0\% | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | . $50 \%$ | 4 |
| 12 | . 3 | 60\% | 0 * | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | . 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 40\% | 5 |
| TOTAL | 59* | 18\% | 39 | 12\% | $29$ | 9\% | 38 | 12\% | 41. | 12\% | 121 | 37\% | GRANB TOTAI. $327^{\circ}$ |

Carl Hayden High School
North High School
Phoenix Union ligh School
South Mountain High School

PART B-1: SUROMRY OF STUDETT PERFORMAICE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No, 1.1A Instructional Area Reading (1.e., Reading, Math, etc.)
"Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month ${ }^{*}$ s progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Bond-Balow-Hoyt Silent Diagnostic Reading Test for students scoring at the first through fourth grade level'.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of: the objective as measured at the end of ther. project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a. criterion referenced measure.

For this computation ense ONLY the results of those students. who took both, the pretest Atid the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have Influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project: such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or arty other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Only 34\% of the Title I students gained at least. one month per month In the program on the Word Recognition subtest of the Bond-Balow-Hoyt. However, $57 \%$ reached the objective on the Recognition Techniques subtest and $50 \%$ reached the goal on the phonic Knowledge subtext. Considering the low starting point of these: students, these gains are commendable.

Objective 1,1A: PARTIALLY ACHIEVED


# DIREGT TITLE I - READING TOTAL 

BOND-BALOW-HOYT
PART C-1: EFFECT OF ITTLE I INTERVENTION IN READING PROJECTS

NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the averrage gain per month int a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

BOND - BALON - HOYT , WORD RECOGNITION


Carl Hayden High School
North High School,
Phóenix Union High School

## South Mountain "High School

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITLE I INTERVFNTJON IN-READING PROJECTS

NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students arc groupedrin various dategories according to the average gain per month in a Title $I$ project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according/ to the directions on the reverse side-of this page... Do Not report the simple difference, between pretest and, posttest'scores.'


Carl Hayden digin School
North High School
Phoenix Union IIIgh School South Mountain Hign School

## NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects, Students are grouped-in various/citegoric's according to the average gain per month in a Title sproject. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reversc side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.


PART B-1: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
$\therefore \quad$ (One copy of this page must be completed for each objectíve. listed In the approved program)

1. Profect Objective No. $\qquad$ Instructional Arca READING
(i.e., Reading, Nath, etc.)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty.percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth month ( $6: 9$ ) level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of studients who met the perfomance criteria of the objective as wieasured at the end of the project. : Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures lif they were used in the project. For example: result on a Etandardized achicvement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation ise ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND: the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of : the project if a pretest was not included in the appróved objective, as may hayc occurred in some kindergarten and first grade componentst):

MEASURE 1
No. of pretest/posttest students. reaching the: desired performance level.

DIVIDF:D BY:
Total No, of pretest/ posttest students in the projecti

EQUALS:
Perecentage of students reaching the desired performance level. :

Name, Form and Level of the Test


PART B-1 (cont inued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Bricfly summarize the results obtained for this project. objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced thiese results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would vtake to improve this'project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement. instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Cheak here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a pore detailed evaluation report: Expected filing date ${ }^{\prime}$ $\qquad$ .

PART C-1: FFFFCT OF TTTH Y INTHRUFOTON IN READJAG PROJECTS

## NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse

This chare displays gaius in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gatin per month in a ritile I. project. Gain is expressed in teras of grade equivalent months. The data. reported here hust be computed according to the dizections on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the siuple difference between pretestand postest scores.


PART B-1: SUMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(Onc copy of this page mu'st be completed for each objective insted In the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. 1.1 i Instructional Area
$\frac{\text { (i.e., Reading, Math, etc. })}{\text { ) }}$

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain . of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth month (6.9) level.
2.- By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they wère used in the project. For examplét. results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a oflterión referenced measure;
For this computation use ONLY the results of those students whítook both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurad in some kindergarten and first girichemponents)



PART B-2:- MEASUREIENT OF OBJECTIVES

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number _1.1B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kflergarten through first grade projests.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if, available in the test publisher's test administration, manual. Stanine results are requested also if nvailatle. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left cojutan under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scores for that measirrement scale.


## CARL HAYDEN

## PART C-1: EFFÍCT OF TITIF I INTERVRNTION IN READING PROJFCTS

## NOTE: Sec Directions on-Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are groüped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I projecs: Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data roported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scores.

IOWA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS - LEVEL 11

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approvè pxogram)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ 1.1 A Instructional Area * READING
'Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by`pre-post reading tests: Bond-B'alow-Hoyt Silient Diagnostic Reading Test for students scoring at the first-through four th grade level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the perceptage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project: Space is'provided to show percentages on different sets of

- measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a śtandardizèd achievemerit test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest for who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included' in the' approved objective, as may have occurred-in. some kindergarten and first grade components).

No. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

DIVIDÉD BY:
-Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project
equals:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Level
of the Test


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on an; factor's of the testing or of the project that have Influenced thicse results,
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level,

- choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

On the Bond-Balow-Hoyt, $37 \%$ of the participating target students gained at least one month per month in the program on Word Recognition, $49 \%$ gained 6ne month per month in Recognition Teçhniques, and $60 \%$ gained one month per month in Phonic Knowledge. Although the students did not reach the goal on the Word Recognitions and Recognition Techniques subtests, considering the; low starting leve $\Rightarrow$
-...... of these stuutnts, these gains are of practical significance.

Objective 1.1A: PARTIALLY ACHIEVED

PART B-2: MEASUREIENT OF OBJECTIVES


Pretest results may not be.available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projeqts.
** Results NUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher.'s test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If nejther scale (G.E. or Stanines). is avallable for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left colum ander the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medtan, and range of scores for that measurement. scale.



PAPT C-1: EFFECT OF TITEE I IMTERVENTION IN READING PROÍSETS NOTE;- Sec Dircetious on Reverse

This chart dísplays gains:in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories accoding to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported hére nuST be compulied according to the directions on the reverse side of this. page, Do NOT report the simple differerce between pretest and posttest scores.


## DIRECT TITLE I - READING

"GARL haxden
BOND-BALOW-HOYT
$\therefore$ PART C-1: EFFLCT OF TITLE I MNTERYNTION IN READING PROJEGTS NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain: per month in a Title l project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST ke computed according to, the directions on the reverse side of this pade. Do NoT report the simple difference between pretegt and postest scores.

BOND-BALOW-HOYT"- RECOGNIT ION TECHNIQUES


# DIRECT TITLE I - READING: 

## CARL HAYDEN

BOND-BALOW*HOYT
PART C-1: EEFRC'T OF TITIE I INTERYATIO: IN READING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance ín Reading projects. Students are grouped in various entegorics according to the average gain per month in a ritle I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed apeording to the directions on the reverse side of this page, Do NOT refort the simple differrence between pretest and posttest scores.


## PART Bol: SUGARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

' (One copy' of this page must be completed for each objective listed In the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. 1.1B Instructional Area READING
(1.e., Reading, Math, etc. $)$

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by preapost reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills. -
? Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth month (6.9) level.
.2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objectrye as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project, for example: results on a standardized achievement test, an infởfaly reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest Aid the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project i i a protest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

No. of-pretest/posttest
students reaching the 'desired performance level.

- DIVIDED BY::

Total No. of pretest/ post test students in the project

EqUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level. t
Name, Form and Level
of the Test


## PART B-1. (continued)

3. Narrative summary
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B: Briefly explain the beeps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Sixty-seven percent of the Indirect students reached the goal of a one month gain for every month in the program. Although a higher percentage of Indirect students then Title I 'students reached the goal, one' should realize that the Indirect students performed at a higher level at the beginning of the program and thus would have been expected to make greater gains.
$\frac{\text { Objective 1.18: ACHIEVED }}{\frac{7}{7}}$
$\because$
$\vdots$
$\vdots$

## PART B - 2; MRASUREMENT OF OBJECITXVES


3. CRECF ONE: ! (Use a separate page for Public School and NonPublic School

Students. St rate page for Public School and NonPublic School $\because$ Public Schodi Students - Non-Rublife School Students.





Record data OMLY for those students who took pretest Ad N posfitest.


N \&-D Students. State $\mathrm{N} \& \mathrm{p} /$ Students

I 11
NAME ?

Pretest results may not be available for some prekindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine result's are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the Left column under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and.range of scores for/that measurement scale.

PAKT C:1: EFICCT OF TITIE I INTERVEUTIOM IN READING PROJECTS NOTE; Sec Difections on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading, prejects. Students are grouped in various categories, ácordiog to the average gain per month in tithe I project. Ginin is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months: The data reported here NUST be computed aceording to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the símple difference between pretest and postest scores.

|  | STUDENTS' AVERAGE, NOŃTILY GAIN PER MONTH-IN THE PROIECT <br> $\therefore$ (Reported, in Gradé Equivalent Months. of Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | onths | .0 .4 |  | . 5 | to | 1.0 $\therefore 1.4$ | to | 1.5 1.9 |  |  |  | With Pretest and : <br> Postrest |
|  | N | $\%$ | N |  | N | $\%$ | $\stackrel{ }{\mathrm{N}}$ | \% | N |  | N | $\%$ | Scores |
| 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DO 1:0 } \\ & \text { SIUDE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FlLL} \\ & \mathrm{FS}=\mathrm{FR} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Th}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{COLL} \\ \mathrm{ETED} \end{array}$ | RinT | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline E A \\ B-2 & \text { FOR } \\ \text { BO } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{LCO} \\ & \mathrm{IS}: \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | E | Á이 | EIR | GRA |  |
| 2 | - |  |  |  | ' ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | - |
| 3 |  |  |  | : $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ! | : |
| 4 |  |  | , |  |  | , |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | $\therefore \stackrel{*}{3}$ |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  | ; |  | , |  |
| 7 |  |  | \% |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 15 | 10\% | 12 | 8\% | 21 ! | 15\% | 31 | 22\% | 17 | 12\% | 48 | 33\% | - 144 |
| 10 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  | : |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | , |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 15 | 10\% | 12 | 8\% | 21. | . $15 \%$ | $31 \text { - }$ | $22 \%$ | 17 | 12\% | 48 | 33\% | cranja TOTAL <br> 144 |

"DIRECT TITISE I - READING
NORTII HIGII SCHOOL
IONA TEST OF MASIC SRILIS - READING COMPREHENSION
PART B-1: SUNMARY OF STUDENT PERTOPMANCE ON PROJECT OBJFCTIVES
(One coph of thif page must be completed for eachobjective listed tin the approved program)

1. Projgct Objective No $\qquad$ Instructional Area READtiNG

Restate the project performance'objeçtive $\begin{aligned} & \text { s written in your application; }\end{aligned}$ include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a.gain of onelmonth's progress in reading per month in the program as
$\therefore$ measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tésts of Basic Skills -
( Level If Comprehension for students scoring' at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth wonth $(6.9)$ level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a. standardized achievementrest, af informal reading inventory, or a. criterion ifeferenced measure.
For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest And the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not incladed in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


## PART B-1 (cont inued)

3. Narrative sumary:
A. Briefiy simarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explarn the steps you would take to improverthis project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level,". choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district. is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing Gate: $\qquad$ -

Sixty-six percent of the Title I students at North Hitgh School met the objective. It should be remembered that the program at North High was only four months long. Furthermore, only $50 \%$ of the Indirect students gained at least one month for every month in the program.

Objective 1.1.B: ACHIEVED

|  | These results correspond with Project. Objective Number _lin_ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CIISCK OSE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.) |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | grade level: Designate one grade level in the box below | *PRETEST Results . POSTTEST RESULTS <br> Record data omy for thuse students who took pretest AND posttest. |
|  | GRADE $\qquad$ |  |
|  | whom there is a pretest score and a posttest score 90 |  |

* Pretest fesúlts may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projectis.
** Results MuST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stamine results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanínes) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left coluna under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median', and range of scores for that measurement scale.

138 SPACE FOR MORE GRADE LEVELS CONTINUED ON REVERSE

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



|  | These results corresporid with Project- Objective Number 1.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.) |  |
| 3. | $\qquad$ <br> (Use a separate page for Public School and Non-Public Schoopz : Students.) <br> Public School Students <br> N\& D Students <br> Non-Public School Students State $\hat{\mathbf{~}} \& D$ Students |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | GRADE' LEVEL: Designate one grade level in the box below | *PRETEST RESLLTŞ positest results <br> Record data $0: M Y$ for those students who took pretest AND posttest. |
|  | GRLDE <br> LEVEL $\qquad$ 1.2 <br> Number of |  |
|  | Students for whon there is a pretest score and a posttest score $\qquad$ |  |

Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade;equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if avallable. If neither scale. ( $G_{0} E$. or Stanines) is available for your measurement justrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left column under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, medtan, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

## DIRICT TITEE J - READING

## NORTH HIOM SCHOOL

YOWA TEST OF BASIC SKIIDS READTRG COMPREIENS ION.
PART C-1: EFFLCT OF TITLF I INTERVENTION IN READITG PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in variond categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project, main is expressed, in teerms of grade equivalert months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reversȩ̧e of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest.and posttest scores..

| $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{G} & \\ R & \\ A & \\ D & L \\ E & E \\ V & V \\ & E \\ & L \\ & S \end{array}$ | STUDENTS' AÜERAGE NONTHLYY GAIN PER NONZH IN THE PROJEÇT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total STUDETIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | $\text { . } 0 \text {-to }$ <br> .4 Month |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \text { to } \\ & 1.4 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.5 to <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2,0 or More Months |  | Wi th <br> Pretest and Posttest Scores. |
|  | 足 |  | N. | $\%$ |  | , \% | N | \% | , N |  | N | \% |  |
| $k$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | -', |  |  |  | . |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | : | ! |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 7 | , | * |  |  | $\sim$ |  | ' | - | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | - |  |  |  |
| 9 | 14 | 16\% | 12 | $13 \%$ | 2 | . $2 \%$ | 11 | 11\% | 12. | 13\% | 39 | 43\% | 90 |
| 10 | 2 | 33\%. | 1 | 17\% | $\because{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | . $0 \%$ | $/ 0$ | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 50\% | 6 |
| 11 | 2 | 30\% | 0 | $0 \%$ | - 0.3 | 0\% | - 0 | 0\% | $\because$ | - $0 \%$ | 2 | 50\% | 4 |
| 12 | 3 | 60\%. | 0 | 0\% | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 - | 40\% | $-5$ |
| TOTAL | 21 | 20 : | 13 | 12\% | 2 | 2\% | 11 | 11\% | 12 | 11\% | $46^{\circ}$ | 44\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOMAI. } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ |

PART B-1: SURIARY OF STUDEIT PERFORNANCE ON PROOFEGT OBJECTIVES
(One dopy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gaint of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measuredby pre-posf-reading tests; and-Balow-Hovt Silent Diagnostic Reading Test for students scoring at the firsE thatigharourth grade level. :
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a. standardized achievement test, anfinformal reading inventory, or a. criterión referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the rèsults of those" stiudents who took both the pretest. AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have ofcurred in some kindergarten and fifirst grade components).


PART B-1. (cóntinucö́)
3. Narrative summary
A. Briefly sumarize the resulte pbtained fot this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have Influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps ypu/would take to improve this project such as changes in activities choteé of qeasurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .
:On the Bond-Ba'low-Hoyt, $44 \%$ of the Title I students met the objective on the Word Recognition subtest, $59 \%$ on the Phonic Knowledge subtest. Program objectives were not met on two of the subtests. One should remember that the students were in the reading program at North High School only. four months compared with eight months at the other schools. Also, these students were students who scored at or below, the fourth grade level on the pretest. In other words, Bond-Balow-Hoyt students had gained less than-one-half year in readirg, for each year they had spent in school. These gains are commendable fand reflect accelerations in learning reading when the entering level of students is considered.

Objective 1.1A: PARTIALLY ACHIEVED

NORTH HLCH SCHOOL
BOND-BALON-HOYT
PART B-2: MEASURFIENT OF OBJECTIVES

| 1. | These result |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 。 | CHECK ONE: |
| $\cdots$ | (x) Stand |
|  | (.) Standa |
|  | ( ) Othe |
| 3. | CHECK ONE: ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | + |

Objective Number



Pretest results may not be avallable for some pre-kindergarten through
first grade projects.
Resulits NUST be reported in grade equivalents if avallable in; the test publisher's test administration manual. Starne results'are requested also if available. If'ncither scale (G.E. or'Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designatie the measurement scale in the o left colunn under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scores for that measurement scale.




* Pretcest results may not be available.for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manual. Stanfer results. fre requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanfnes) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) desfignate the measurement scale in the left' column under the "Number of Students,.." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scorcs for that measurement scale.



## PART C $1:$ EFFECT OF TITLF I INTWRUNTION IN READING PROJFCTS

NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categorics according to the äverage gain per month in a fitle I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be: computediaccording to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretiest and postest scores.

BOND-BALOW-HOYT WOR̈D RECOGNITION

|  | Students, , Averdee honmily gain per honmi in the project <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Nonths <br> or Fräctions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0 \text { Mon }$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{ }$ | .0 t $\therefore \mathrm{Mo}$ | ti: | .5 .9 | to ${ }_{\text {tonth }}$ | 1.0 1.4 M | to | (1.5 | to | 2.0 $M 0$ Mion | or | - Wisth Pretost and postest |
|  | N ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\%$ | N | \% | N | $\%$ | $3^{6}$ | \% | N . | $\%$ | N | \% | Scores |
| 1 |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 3 | . |  |  |  |  | . |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | - |  | -', |
| 14 | $\rightarrow$ |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | , |  | $\therefore$ |
| 5 |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | . |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | * | . | $\checkmark$ |  |  | . | \% |
| 7 |  |  |  | . | 7 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | ! ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | . |  | $\because$ |
| 9 | $\cdots$ | 23\% | 3 | $308$ | 6 | $19 \%$ | 6 | 10\% | 2 | $6 \%$ | 7 | ${ }^{13 \%}$ | $3{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  | ; |  |  |  | :- |  |  | , |
| 11 | 11 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $-678$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $!$ | $3 .$ |
| 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  | ! $\cdot$ | . |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$. | , |
| totas | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 8\end{aligned}$ |  | 5 | 15\% | 6 | 17\% | 1! 6 | 17\% | 2 | 6\% |  | , $\because$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRMND } \\ & \text { TOTAI. } \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ |

## 

NOTE: See Direction's on Reverse
This chart displays gains in studont perforinance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the avorige gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported heredulst be confuted according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do Nor report the. simple difference between prétest and postecet sfores:i

| G  <br> R  <br> A  <br> D L <br> E $E$ <br>  $V$ <br>  E <br>  L <br>  S <br>   <br>   | STUDERTS' AVERAGE HONDILL CAIN PER NONZ ${ }^{\circ}$ IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent !!onths or Fractions ni Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL STUDE:TS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Month's |  | .0 to  <br> .4 Honth .5 to. <br> .9 Month  |  |  |  | 1.0 to. <br> 1.4 3onth |  | 1.5 to <br> 2.9 Wonth |  | 2.0 or More Fronths |  | With <br> Pretest .and restest Scores |
|  | N | $\%$ | - | $\%$ | 11 | $\cdots$ | , | \% |  | $\%$ | iv | $\because$ |  |
| 1 |  STumen rion conelizen pat b-? rows. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | . |  |  |  | - . |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 1 |  | - | , | 4 |
| 4 |  |  | $\cdots$ | . | * |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | : |  |  |  |  | - |
| 6 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | , |  | - |  | -- |
| 7 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 8 |  |  | . : |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | $13:$ | 3 | 710\% | $\underline{\sim}$ | $13 \%$ | - 4. | 13\% | 3 | . $10 \%$ | 13 | 42\% | 31 |
| 10 |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , . |
| $7^{11}$ | 2 | $67 \%$ | 20. | $0 \%$ | 1 | 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 12 |  |  | , |  | . | $\because$ | . |  | - |  | , |  |  |
| TOTML | 6 | $17 \%$ | 3 |  |  | $15 \%$ | 4 | 12\% | 3 | $\begin{array}{r}\% \\ -8 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13. | 38\% | grain <br> Tomat. |

149

## 

NOTE: See Directions on keverse
This chart displays gains intostudent performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in variois categories according to the average gain' per month in a title I project., Gainvis expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data xeported here fuST be corputed actording, to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the siniple difference between pfetest and posteest scores.

BONL-BALON-HONT PHONIG KNOWLEDGE

| $\left.\begin{array}{cc} G & \\ R & \\ A & \\ D & L \\ E & E \\ & E \\ & V \\ & E \\ & L \\ & \text { S } \end{array}\right],$ | - Stuperts' average honthly gim per monih in the próject <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent konths or fractions oi Monthis) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<\rho \text { Months }$ |  | .0 to  <br> .4 Honth. .5 to <br> .9 Nonth  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \text { to } \\ & 1.4 \mathrm{Month} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \mathrm{to} \\ \text { 1.9. Month } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2.0 or More Nonths |  | WithPretestnndPostiestScores |
|  | N | . $\%$ | N | $\%$ | $\cdots$ | \% | ' s | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | $\because `$ |  |
| 11 |  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  | $\square$ | - |  |  |  | - ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | . : |  | . . . |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | m |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  | - |  |
| ! 5 |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  | : • . |  |  | $\cdot$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | , | , | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |
| 8 | , | .1 |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | , |  | : $\cdot$ | , , |
| 9 | 8 |  | 5 | - $16 \%$ | $?$ | $6 \%$ | 4 | $13 \%$ | 1 | 3\% |  | 35\% | 31 |
| $10^{\circ}$ | . |  | $2 \cdot$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , • |
| 11 | 3 | 100\% | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | - $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 0 | (1) | 3 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | - |  |  | . | : | $\because$ |
| TOTAL | $i 1^{\text {t. }}$ | 39\% | ' | $1 \mathrm{~F} \%$ | $?$ | . $6 \%$ | 4 | $1.2 \%$ |  | $-3 \%$ | 21 | $32 \%=$ | GRAM TOMA: $=34=$ |

PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDENT PERRORMACE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed In the approved program)-

1. Project Objective No.
 Instructional Area $\qquad$
Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurepent:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the' program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ninth month (6.9) level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performence.criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they vere used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achicvement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took boti: the pretest AlD the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).

No. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

$$
76
$$

DIVIDED BY:
Total No, of pretest/ posttest students in the
project

152
EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.

Name, Form and Level of the Test

$$
50 \text {. }
$$

ITBS, Reading Cómpreliension Form $5 \& 6$ LeveI II

PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Content on any factors of the testing or -of the -project. that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to Improve this project such as chances in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check, here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

Fifty percent of the Indirect Title I students at North High School gained at least one month for every month in the program. Additional help should be provided for these students.

Objective 1, 1B: _- ACHIEVED



* Pretest resüts may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MoST be reported in grade equivalents if available fin the test publisher's test administration manual. Stavine results are requested also if avallable. If neither scale (G.E. or Stánines) is available for your incasurement inscrument, (a) desigante the measurement scale in the left colum under the "Number oif Students..." and (b) report the mean, nedian, and range of scores for that measurement scale.

PART C-1: EFFRCT OF TYTAE I INTRRVENTION IN READING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the, average gain per month in a Title $I$ project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent nonths. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postiest scores. - IONA TES'S OF BASIC SKILLS -- IEVEL 11

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> STUDENTS <br> With <br> Pretest and Posttest 'Scöres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <0 Months |  | .0 to <br> . 4 Month |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } \\ 1.4 \text { Mon'th } \end{gathered}$ |  | 1.5 to <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or More Months |  |  |
|  |  | $\%$ | \% | -\% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | N | $\%$ | . N | \% | in | 1-1 | N | \% |  |
| 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \text { no } \\ & \text { STUDE: } \end{aligned}$ | FIL | , IN TrI $\mathrm{OM} \mathrm{COS}$ | IS COL | $\hat{A R T}$ | SEA WILL CO:PILE DAXA FOR FINST GRADE B-2 FORMS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | ${ }^{\because \cdots}$ |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | , | 6 | ---- - $\cdot$ - - |
| 3 - |  | . |  | : |  | * | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | , |
| 7 |  |  | : . |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | . | , |  | . | , |  |  | - |
| $6 i$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1: |  | : | - |  | - |  |
| 7 | , |  | . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | , |
| 8 |  |  | . | - | , |  |  | -• | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 53 | $35 \%$ | 9 | $6 \%$ | 14 | \% \% | $16$ | . $10 \%$ | 18 | 12\% | 42 | 28\% | 152. |
| 10 |  | - | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | . |  |  | - | : |  | . |
| 11 * |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | $<^{*}$ |
| 12 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **.. |
| TOLS. | 53 | 35\% | 9 | - $6 \%$ | 14 | 9\% | 16 | 10\% | 18 | - $12 \%$ | 42 | 28\% | GRNin TOMAL 152 |

PART B-1: SUMMARY OF STUDENT PEREORMANCE ON PROJEGT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ 1.1B Instructional Area Reading (i.ei, Reading, Math, ete.):

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gâin of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as neasured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Ski.1ls Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifthithrcugh sixth glade, ninth month (6.9) level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of studen:s who met. the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sețs of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a . standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterionreferenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both
, the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).
a . . .

No. of pratest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

$$
25
$$

DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretest/ posttest students in the project

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired porformance level.

Namé, Form and Level of the Test


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Bricfly sumnarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level;, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a,more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -

Only $37 \%$ of the participating Title I students gained at least one month per month in'the program as measured by the Iowa Test of Basic Skills, Reading Comprehension, Lieyel 11. Additional help may be necessary for the students at Phoenix Union High School.

Objective 1.1B: NOT ACHIEVED

PART B-2: NEASURENEAT OF OBJECTIVES


* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results MUST be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test adninistration manuak. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurencont instrument, (a) destgnate the measurement scale in the left colunm under the "Number of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scores for that meatrgment scale.

PART C-1: EFFECT OF TITLE I INTERVENTION IN READING PROJECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students' are grouped in various' categories according to the 'average gain per month in a Title 1 project. Gain is expressed in terms, of gráde equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest sceres.


PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMNNCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of, this page must be completed for each objective listed in, the approved program)

1. Project Obfective No. _ 1.la Instructional Area Reading

Beading, Math, etc.)
Restate the project performance objective as written in your aphígation; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students widf have a gain of one month's-progress in reading per month in the program as measured, by pre-post reading tests: Bond-Balow-lloyt Silent Rexacro'stic Reading Test for students scoring at the firsefthroughr fourth grade le vel.
:" 2. By completing the blanks below, report the pergentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project- For example:-results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.
For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in t́he approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade gomponents).


PAPT B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative sumnary:
A. . Bricfly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have finfluenced these results.
B. Bfitefly explain the steps you would talee to improve this project sụch as changes in activitics, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factozs.'
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report: Expected filing date: $\qquad$

On the Bond-Balow-Hoyt, 23\% of the students met the objectives on the Word Recognition subtest, $71 \%$ on Recognition Techniques, and $35 \%$ on Phonic Knowledge. Additional-types of remedial instruction are indicated.

Objective 1.1A: PARTTALLY ACHCEVED

| 1 | These results correspond with Project Objective Number 1.1A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CIECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different typelof measure.)(X) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory <br> () Standardized Diagnostic Test () Critefion Referenced Neasure <br> ( ) Other (Specify)  |
| 3. |  |
|  |  |
|  | AFE FORM . DATEE GIVEN |



| GRADE LEVEL: <br> Designate one grade level in the box below | *PRETEST RESULTS , PQSTTEST RESULTS <br> Record data OM.Y for those students who took pretest AND posttest. |
| :---: | :---: |
| WORD RECOGNITION <br> GRADE <br> LEVEL 9. . $\qquad$ | **Grade Stanine **Grade: Stanine <br> Equiv   |
| Students for whom there is a pretest score and a posttest score $\qquad$ 31. |  |

* Pretest results may not be savallable for some pre-kindergärten through first grade projects.
** Resul.ts muST be reported in grade equivalents if available in tho test publisher's test administration manual. Stanine results are requested also if available. If neither scale (G.E.' or Stanines) is available for your measurement. instruacnt, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left column undor Ehe̛ "Nunber of Students..." and (b) report the mean, median, and range of scores for that measurement'scale.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## BOND-BALON-HOYT

PART C-1: FFFRCT OF TITIE T INTERVFITION IN READING PRODECTS
NOTE: Sec Directions on Reversé
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is. equressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUS'T be compluted according' to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest seores.

BOND-BALOW-HOYT WORD RECOGNITITON

| G. <br> R <br> A <br> D L <br> E• E <br> $V$ <br> - E <br> $L$ $S$ | $\therefore$ STUDENTS' AVERAGE NONMLI GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Konthis or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 $\because$ TOTAL STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . 00 Months $\|$.0 to <br> -.4 Month |  |  |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Morith |  | 1.0 to1.4 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & \text { 1:9 Monṭh } \end{aligned}$ |  | $2.0 \text {.or }$ <br> More Months |  | Wi th <br> Pretest and <br> Posttest Scores |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | $\%$. | N | \% | N | $\%$ |  |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | . |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - . |
| 5 : |  |  |  |  |  | '. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | . 7 | ! |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | …-..... |
| 9 | 3 | 26\% | 5 | 167 | 11 | 35\% | 3 | 10\% | 3 | 10\% | 1. | 3\% | 3 l |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  | - | . | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | . |
| 11 |  |  |  |  | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | - |  |  | . |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |
| T0TAL | 8 | 26\% | 5 | 16\% | 11 | 35 | 3 | 10\% | 3 | 10\% | 1 | 3\% | CRAND TOMAL 31 |

## BOND-BALOH-HOYT

## PART ©-1: EFFRCT OF TITI.E I TNTELVFNTTO: IN READIIG PROJFCTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displdys gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title'I project. Gain is expressed'in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page, Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

BONE-BALOW-HOYT RECOGNITION TECINIQUES

|  | STUDENTS' AVERAGE MONTHLY GAIN PER NONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | MOTALSTUDENTS$;$WithPretestanidPostestScoties |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ MONTH |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0 \text { to } \\ & .4 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | .5 to <br> . 9 Month |  | 1.0 to <br> 1.4*Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & \text { 1.9. Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Months |  |  |
|  | N | $\%$ | - | \% | n ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | \% | N | $\%$ | - N | \% | N | \% |  |
| $\because 1$. |  STUDEITS FRO: COMRLETED PART B-2 FORYS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| .. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | , |  |  |  | ${ }^{+}$ | - | , ' |
| 5 |  | , |  |  |  |  | ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | , |  |  | . |  |  |
| . 7 |  |  |  |  | $\because \cdot{ }^{\text {a }}$. |  |  |  |  |  | , |  | ! |
| 8 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| , 9 | 4 | $13 \%$ | 4 | 13\% | 1 | $3 \%$ | 6 | $19 \%$ | 1 | $3 \%$ | 15 | 49\% | 31 |
| 10 | : |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  | ** |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  | , |  |  | . |  |
| 12 | . |  |  |  | . | $\because *$ |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totai. | $14$ | 13\% | 4 | 13\% | : 1 | 3\% | 6 | 19\% | $1$ | $3 \%$ | 15* | 49\% | GRAND 10'JAl. 31 |


$\because$ NOTL: See Directions on Reverse
This charix displays gains in student performance in Reading projects.
A. Studerts are grouped in various categocies according to the average gain per month dinaritle I project. Gain is expressed in texms of grade equivalent wonths. The data reported here MUST be computad accordir? to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NoT report the simple difference between pretest and postecst scores.

EOND-PADOK-HOYT P:ONIC KNONLEDEE


## PART B-I: SULDARY OF STLAENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ 1.1B Instructional Area $\qquad$
Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; Include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have-d.gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Iqwa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Comprehension for students scoring at the fifth through sixth grade, ñinth ตionth (6.9) level.
2. By' completing the blanks' below, report the percentage of students who met the performence criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project.-Space is provided to show percentages on different sets $Q_{F}$ measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievenent test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use oNLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project i: a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergartep and first grade components).

No. of pretiest/posttesst students reaching the desired performance level.

DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretestl posttest students in the project

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reaching the desired performance level.
Name, Form and Level of the Test
3. Narrative sumary:
A. Bricfly sumarlze the results obtained for this project objective.
( Comaent on any factors of the testing or of the project. that have
Influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ if your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected iniling date: $\qquad$ $\rightarrow$

Only 37\% of the Indirect. Title students at Phoenix Union High School gained at least one month pot month in the program.

Objective 1.1B: NOT ACBIEVED

|  | These results correspond with Project Objective Number lid. B_, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | CIDCK OXE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.) |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | GRADE $\text { LEVEL } 9$ $\qquad$ |  |
| Number of Students for whom there is a pretest score and a posttest score$\qquad$ |  |  |

* Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
-** Fisults must be reported in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration nanual. Starine results are requested also if availahle.' If neither scale (G.E. or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the left colum under the "Nurber of Students.f" and (b) report the mean, medimi, and range of scores for that was rement scale.


## NOTE: Sec Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gaitis in student performance in Reading projects. Students, are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in tyerms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here MUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this pase. Do NOT report the simple*difference between pretest and posttestscores.

|  | STUDENTS' AVERAGE MONTHIY GAIN PER MONTH IN THE PRȮJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Months |  | .0 to . 4 Morith |  | .5 to . 9 Month |  | $1.0^{\circ} \text { to }$ <br> 1.4 Month |  | 1.5 to <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or More Months |  | With <br> Pretest and Posttest Scoxes |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | $\cdots$ | $\%$ | N | $\%$ | N | \% |  |
| 1 | DO NOT FILI IN THIS COLCR, SEA VILL CORILLE DÁTA FOR FIRST GRADE STUDENTS FROA COMPLETED P:RT B-2 FORUS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | 7 |  |  | $\therefore$ ' |  |  | i |
| 9 | 35 | $30 \%$ | 10 | 9\% | 27 | $237$ | 12 | 11\% |  | 10\% | 20 | 17\% | 115 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAI. | 4 | 30\%. | 10 | 9\% | 27 | 23\% | 12 | 11\% | 11 | 10\% | 20 | 1.7\% | GRAND TONAL .115 |

PART B-1: SURMARY OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTIVES
(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective ©No: $\qquad$ 1.13 Instructional Area peading

Restate the project performance objective as written in your appication; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program-as mensured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Comprehension for students scóring at the ififth through sixth giade, ninth month (6:9) level.
2. By completing the blainks below, report the percentage of students who met the perfomance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievenent test, an informal reading inventory, or a critérion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if, a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have coccurred in some kindergarten' and first grade components).

No, of pretest/postiest students reaching the desized performance level.

DIVIDED BY:
*
Total No, of pretest/ posttest students in the project

MEASURE 1
MEASURE 2

| $80^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | . |
| 110 | . |

## EQUALS:

Percentage of students reaching the desired pezformance level.

Name, Form and Level of the test

ITLS, REÁDTNG COMDRFIENSTON, FORMS 5 and 6
$73 \quad \%$
$\qquad$
$\%$


PART B-1 (continued)
3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would the to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument or any other, pertinent factors.
C. Check here $\qquad$ If your district is preparing a more detailed.
evaluation $\qquad$ Expected filing date: $\qquad$ .

Seventy-three percent of the Direct Title I students at South Mountain High gained at least one month per month in the program as measured by the ItPBS Level 11: Furthermore, $51 \%$ of these students gained two or more months for every month in the program compared to only $29 \%$ of the Indirect students.

Objective 1.1B: ACHIEVED

PART B-2: 低ASUREIENT OR OBJECTIVES
$\sqrt[3]{1 . \int \text { These results correspond with Project Objective Number } \quad 1.1 B}$

2 CIIECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each diffenent type of measure.)
(X) Standardized Achievement Test ( ) Informal Reading Inventory
i( ) Standardized Diagnostic Test
(, ) Griterion Referenced Measure A(
3.: CIECK ONE: (Use a separale page for Public School and Non-Public School Public School Students Non-Public School Students

State N \& D Students

$\star$ AreTest $\quad$ IOWA TEST OF
. NANE FORM

Postrest IOHA TEST OF BASIC SKILLS, READIN $\qquad$ Continuous
GRADE LEVEL: *PRETEST' RESULTS

Designate one grade. level in the box below
*PRETEST' RESULTS
Record data only for those students who took pretest AND posttest.
$;$


Pretest results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results/NUST be reported-in grade equivalents if available in the test publisher's test administration manioh. Stanine results are requested also if avalable. If neither scale (G.E, or Stanines) is available for your measurement instrument, (a) designate the measurement scale in the Ieft col unn under the "isumber oif students. $\therefore^{\prime \prime}$ " and (b) report the mean, medtan, and range of scotes for that measurement scale.

PART C.-1: RFFFCT OF TITLE I INTERVENTION. IN READING PROTECTS
NOTE: See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories, according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here fUST be eomputed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

IOWA TEST OF BASIC SKILILS, READING COMPREHENSION, LEVEL 11

(One copy of this page must be completed for each objective listed in the approved program)

1. Project Objective No. "1.1A Instructional Area Reading (i.e., Keading, Math, etc.)

Restate the-project performance objective as written in your "application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students wilil have a gain of one month's progress in reading per month in the program as measured by pre-post reading tests: Bond-Balow-Hout Silent Diagnostic Reading Test for students scoring at the first through fourth grade level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance critreria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sets of measures if they were used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievement test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the posttest (o: who were enrolled at the beginning of the project:if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).


## 3. Narratitve summary:

A. Bricfly sumarize the results obtained for this project objective: Comment on any factorss of the testing or of the project that have Influenced these results.
E. Atiefly explain the stcps.you would. take to improve this project , puch as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement dinstrument, or any other pertinent factors.
C. Check hore $\qquad$ lif your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ —.

On the Bond-Balow-Hoyt, $29 \%$ of thie Title I students met the objective on the Word. Recognitions subtest, $52 \%$ on, the Recognition Technique "subtest, and $56 \%$ on the Phonic Knowledge'subtest. Considering the, low beginnitg level of these students, these gains are quite good.

Objcctive 1. IA: PARTIALEY ACHXEVED.

BART B-2: REASUREIERT OF OBJECTIVES

1. These results correspond with Project objective Number
$1 . i A$
2. CHECK ONE: (Use a separate page for each different type of measure.)




## PART C-1: - EFFECT OF TTTIE I TNTERYENiION IN READING PROJFCTS

NOTE: : See Directions on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a ritle I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent nonths., The data reported here MUST be computed áceording to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

BOND-BALON- HOYT KORD RECOGNITION


## PART C-1: EPFTCT OP TITE I INTENTYTHO IN RFADING PROMECTS

NOTR: See Dixections on Reverse
This chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gainf per month in a Title $I$ project. Gain is expressed in texms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here muSt be computed according to the directions on the reverge side of this page. Do not report the simpledifierence between pretest and postest scores.

BOMD-BALOH-HOYT RECOGNITION TECHSTOUES

| $\begin{array}{ll} G & \\ R & \\ A & \ddots \\ J & L \\ E & E \\ \cdot & V \\ & E \\ & L \\ & S \end{array}$ | STUDEN'TS' AVERAGE NONTHLY GAIN PER MOM'H 广゙, THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTALSTUDENTSWithPretestandPostrestScores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <-M"Months |  | .0 to <br> $.4^{\circ}$ Month |  | $.5 \text { to }$ <br> . 9 Month |  | 1.0 to <br> 1.4 Month |  | $1.5 \text { to }$ <br> 1.9 Month |  | 2.0 or More Months. |  |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | $\%$ | 1 | $\%$ | N | \% |  |
| 1 \% |  STMOT:TS FRO: COMLETED PART B-2 HORSS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -4 |  | . $\cdot$ |  | - | - .-- |  |  | * | - |  | - |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ! |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | $\delta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 7* |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | - |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 9 | 6 | $18 \%$ | 6 | $18 \%$ | 4 | $12 \%$ | 8 | 24\% | $1:$ | 4\% | 8 | 24\% | 33 |
| 10 |  |  | . |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - |
| 107\%) | 5 | 18 | - 6 | 187 | 4 | 12\% | 8 | 24\%. |  | 4\% | 8 | 214 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { GRAD } \\ \text { TOTAL } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

## PART C-1: EFFICT OF TITLE: I IATERUENTIOi IN READING PROJRCTS

NOTE: S/e Directions on Reverse
This/chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain par month in a Titule I project, Gann is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest and posttest scoges.?

BOID-BALOW-HOYT PIDNTC RNOWLEDGE

|  | STUDENTS* |  |  | AVERAGE MONTHIY GATS PER MONTH IN THE PROJECT <br> (Reported in Grade Equivalent Months or Practions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0 \mathrm{~m}$ | ncihs |  | to <br> onth | . 9 | to | 1.0 | to | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.9 \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}$ | Onth |  | or: |  |
|  | is | $\%$ |  | 1- |  | \% | N | \% | 1 | \% 4 | N | \% |  |
| 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } 180 \\ & \text { STUDE } \end{aligned}$ | FIL | $\begin{gathered} 1:: 7 i l \\ 00: \mathrm{CO} \mathrm{P} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{COl} \\ \mathrm{CESED} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{met}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { SEA } \\ B-2 \\ B O \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | IILE | $\overline{I * F O}$ | $\overline{F I R}$ | GRAD |  |
| 2 | , | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | ! |  |  |  |  | . |  | $\stackrel{+}{\text { A }}$ | - |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | , |  |  |  | . |  |  | - |  | , |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| $6{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | . |  | , | $\because$ |  |  |  |  | ; |
| 7 | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  | + |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 3 | $9 \%$ | 8 | 23\% | 4 | $12 \%$ | 7 | 20\% | 6 | 18\% | 6 | 18\% | 34. |
| - 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  | $\therefore$ |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 31 | 97 | 8 | 23\% | 4 | $12 \%$ | 7 | 20\% | 6 | 18\% | 6 | 18. |  |

PaRC B-1: STRALRY OF ETMERT PERFOMMAOCE ON PROJECT OBTECTTVES
(One copy of thls parie nust bè completed for each objective listed in the approved progiram)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ Instructional Area Reading (1.e., Reading, Nath, etc.)

Restate the project pefformance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for modsurement:

Fifty percent of the participating farget students will have airgain of one month's progress in reading per wónth in the program as* measured by pre-post reading tests: Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Level II - Corprephension, For students scoring at the filth through sjxth Erade', ninth t:onth ( 6.9 ) level.
2. By completing the blanks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance Criteria of the objective as measured, at the end of the project. Sprace is provided to show percentages pil different sets of measures if they were-used in the project. For example: results on a standardized achievenent test, an informal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.

For this comptation use ONLY the results of those students who took both the pretest AND the postrest (or who were enrolled at the beginning of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objectave, as may have occurred in some findergarten and first grade components).

HEASURE 1.
2TEASURE 2
ITASURE 3

No. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level. " . 230

DIVIDED EY:
Total lio. of pretest/ posttest students in the project

420

EQUALS:
Percentage of students reachine the desired... performate level. ッ.........

Name, Form and Level.
of tise Test

$\sim$ PART B-1 (continued)
3. Marrative sumary:

- A. Briefly sumarize the results obtained for this project cbjective. Coment on any factors of the testing or of the project that lave influenced thes: results.
B. Eriefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance ${ }^{\text {e }}$ level, chofec of ticasurement instument, or amy other pertinent factors.
C. Check here . . If your district is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -


Sixty-six percent of the Indirect students at South Mountain High School gained at least one month for every month in the progras as measured, by the Iova Test of Basic Skills, Level 11.

Obiecrive 1.1B: ACHIEVID


## PART C-1: EFFECT OF TTTE T INTERURTION IN READTNG PROJECTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

mis chart displays gains in student performance in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

|  | students' average montily gain per month in the project <br> (Reported in Giade Equivalent Months or fractions of Months) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> STUDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<0$ Month |  | . 0 to |  | $\because 5 \text { to }$ <br> . 9 Month |  | 1.0 to <br> 1.4 Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } \\ & 1.9 \text { Month } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 or More Months |  | With <br> Pretest and Posteres Scores |
|  | N | $\%$ |  | \% |  | \% | : | $\%$ | N | \% | N | $\%$ |  |
| 1 |  SHENT:TS FROM CORIETED PART B-2 FOMS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | " : |
| : 3 |  | , |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 4 | ; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| '9 | 67 | 16\% | 37. | 9\% | 66 | 15\% | 72. | 17\% | 57 | 14\% | 121. | 29\% | 420 |
| 10 |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 。 |  |
| TOTAL | . 67 | 16\% | 37 | 9\% | 66 | 15\% | 72 | 17\% | 57 | 14\% | 121 | . $29 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRNAD. } \\ & \text { TOMAL } \\ & 420 \end{aligned}$ |

## PART B-1: SUCART, OF STUDELT PERGORMANCE ON PROJECT OBJECTTVES

(One copy of this page must be complated for each objectivg' listed in the approved program)

1. Project. Objeative No. $\qquad$ 1.1 Instructional Area READTV (i.e., Reading, Math, etc.).

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include the criteria for measurement:

Fifty persent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month inf the program as measured by preapost scores on the Iowa Test of Basic* Skills - Comprehension.
2. By completing the blanks below, report theipercentage of students who met the perfor:iance criteria of the objective as measured at'the end of the project. Space is provided to show pereentages on different 'sets of measures if they were used in the project. For examie: results on a standardized achieverent test, an informal reading inventory, or a eriterion referanced measure:

For this computation use ONLY the results of those students. who took bath. the pretest AND the, posttest (or who were enrolled at the beginning, of the project if a pretest was not included in the approved objective, as may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components.).

3. Narrative summary:
A. Briefly summarize the results obtained for this project objective. Comment on any factors of the testing or of the project that have influenced these results.
B. Briefly explain the steps you would take to improve this project such as changes in activities, desired student performance level, choice of measurement instrument, or any other 'pertinent factors.
C. Check here" . if your district "is preparing a more detailed evaluation report. Expected filing date: $\qquad$ -
$\qquad$

Sevenky-eight percent of the Title I pupils at St. Mary's reached the stated objectives.

Objective 1.1: ACHIEVED

$$
\because{ }_{i} \quad \because \quad . \quad \pi
$$



* Pretesl results may not be available for some pre-kindergarten through first grade projects.
** Results Musi be reported in grade equivalenta if avallable in the test publisher's test adminisiration manual. Stanine results are requested aliso if avallable. If neither scaic (G.E, or Stanines) is available for yom mearurement instrume, (a) dedipmate the measurement scale in the ieft colum under the "ivuber oi. Students..." and (b) Feport the mean, median, and range of scores for that measurewent scale.

PART C-I: "PFPRCT OF TIMAE I INTERVFSTION LN RIADTHG PROJECTS

* NOTE: See Directions on Reverso

This chart displays gains in student performance in. Reading projects. Students are grouped in various categories according to the average gain. per month in a ritle I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here NUST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side ol this page. Do NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest scores.

(One cony of this page nust-be completed for aach objective listed in the aptroved prociram)

1. Project Objective No. $\qquad$ 1.1. . Instructional Area $\frac{\text { Reading }}{\text { (A.c., Reading, Math, etc..) }}$

Restate the project performance objective as written in your application; include he criteria for meásurement:

Fifty percent of the participating target students will have a gain of one month in the program as measured by pre-post scores on the" Iowa Test of Basic Skills - Comprehensicn.
2. By completing the blapks below, report the percentage of students who met the performance criteria of the objective as measured at the end of the project. Space is provided to show percentages on different sers of measures if they weve used in the project. For example: results on a. standardized achieverient test, an iniormal reading inventory, or a criterion referenced measure.
For this computation use 0NLY the results of those studerts who took both the pretest ADD the posttest (or wo were enrolled at the begiming of the project if a.pretest was not included in the approved objective, as. may have occurred in some kindergarten and first grade components).
130. of pretest/posttest students reaching the desired performance level.

DIVIDED BY:
Total No. of pretest/: positest students in the project

EQUALS:
Percentage of, students reaching the desired performante level.

Name, Form and Levol of the Test


1. These results correspond with Project unjective Number-
$\qquad$ Publif School Students
 N \& D Students \%


Pretest results may pot be available for some pre-kindergarten through fixst grade prajects,
Results NugT be reported in grade equivalents if availabje in the test pablisher's test administration manual. Starine results are requested $C$ also if available. .tf neither scale (G.E. or stanines) is available for your measurement instrment, (a) designate the neasurement scoule in the ' Jeft colum inder the "Xumber of Students. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ " and (b) report the mean, merian, and range of sfores for that measthement scale.

INDTRECT TITLE I - READING
SI. MARY'S IRBI Scllool
IOWA 'IEST' OF BAGIC SKLLLS
PART C-1: ITFLCT OF ITM.F T INTTRVFUTTON IN READING MROAFCTS

## NOTE: See Directions on Reverse

This chart displays gains in student performonce in Reading projects. Students are grouped in various eategories according to the avarage gain. per month in a Title I project. Gain is expressed in terms of grade equivalent months. The data reported here huST be computed according to the directions on the reverse side of this page. DO NOT report the simple difference between pretest and postest-scores.


4
$+\quad$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4. } \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$



MIPORTANT NOTE: Reproduie this page in order to complete a separate PART D $\qquad$ PARTE E for each grouping listed below for each Instructional Area in your project. INSTRUCTIONAL AREA: $\qquad$ Continuiny Education Center
(1.e., Reading, Mathenfítics, E.S.L., etc.)

Check ONE of the following to Indicate the grouping, reported on this page:

## X Regular Term Public School Students

©Sumer Term Publịc School Students
$\qquad$ Regular Term Non-Public School Students.
$\qquad$ Sumer Term Non-Public School Students

PART D: PROSECT COSTS

1. Total funds expended in the project. for the group checked above in this instructional area: 1
$\$ 148,374.00$
${ }^{1}$ Estimates as of June 15, 1975
2. Total per-pupil expenditure for this group" in this instructional area:

PART E: NLHRER AND CLASSIFICATION OF PERSOMEL EPPLOYED TITH TITLE I FUND FOR THIS INSTRUCTIO:ALA AREA * ${ }^{\circ}$

| Type of Personnel $\quad \cdot \quad \cdot{ }_{i}$ | Total Personnel. | .FTE | Total Salaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {Preachine Pre-Eindersarten }}$ |  | , |  |
| Teaching \#incerzarten |  |  |  |
| Teachins Elenentazy |  | , | . |
| Teachine Secencary | $6.0{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.0 | 61.721 |
| Teaching - rics. criy |  |  |  |
| Teacher Aide - Eiem. , |  |  | , . |
| Teacher dide ${ }^{\text {- }}$ Secondary |  | , | - ${ }^{2}$ |
| Supervision | 3.0 | 1.80 | 4,312 |
| Direction \& Yomt. (ticmin.) |  |  |  |
| Counselinz. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 23,265 |
| Psycholozist \& Testing | 1.0 | 0.12 | 2,826 |
| Clerical (Title I onlv) | 6.0 | 2.38 | 12,770 |
| Socjal Nork Community Aidé | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2,000 |
| Attendance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 2,00 |
| Other: | $2.0$ | 1.12 | 8,016. |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF ASSIGAENTS, FTE AND SALARIES | 21 | 13.92 | \$115,810 |

$\stackrel{5}{*}$ If personnel assist in serveral project components, pro-rate salarles to separate Part E reports.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Reproduce this page in order to complete a separate PART D $\qquad$ PART E for each grouping listed below for each Instructional area in your project.

INSTRUCTIONAL AREA: Eng Lish/Wricing

Check ONE of the following to indicate the grouping reported on this page: X "Regular Term Public School Students

Sumer Term Public School Students $\qquad$ Regular Term Non-Pubic School Students Sumar Term Non-Public School Students

## PART D: PROJFCT COSTS

1. Total funds expended In the project for the group checked above in this instructional area: ${ }^{1}$.
\$62,679.00
2. Total per-pupil' expenditure for this"group in this Instructional area:
\$78.55\%
\$282.34
*Direct, and Indirect **Direct Only
PART E: RUNBTR AND CLASSIFICATION OF PRRSOMIEL EMPLOYED TITH TITLE I FWNDS FOR THIS INSTRUCTLORAL AREA *

| Type of Persomnel | Total <br> Personnel | FTE | Total Salaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teaching Pre-*indepearten |  |  |  |
| Teaching :inderzarten - |  | .-...- | - |
| Teaching Elementary |  |  |  |
| Teachin Seconcary | 4.0 | 2.14 | 1__21,948 |
| Teaching - tico: caly |  | . |  |
| Tcacler Aicie - Elen. |  |  |  |
| Teacher Aide - Secondaty | 20 | 2.0 | 7,768 |
| Supervision | 1.0 | . 14 | 3,430 |
| Direction \& Ymt. (simin.) |  |  |  |
| Counseline |  |  |  |
| Psychologist \& Testing | 1.0 | . 13 | 2.826 |
| Clerical (Title I only) | 6.0 | 2.28 | 10.799 |
| Social. Nork Community Aide | 2.0 | $\bigcirc$ | 2.700 |
| Mi. - ndance |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| Other: | 1.0 | . 12 | $703$ |
| - Total nulber of assignients FTE AND SALARTES | ${ }^{17}$ | 7.48 | \$50,174 |

* If personnel assist in serveral project components, pro-rate salaries to separate Part E reports.

IMPORTAHT NOTE: Reproduce this page in order to 'somplete a separate PART'D PART E for each grouping listed below for each Instructional Area in your projeet.

INSTRUCTIONAL AREA: Hathematics
(F.e., Reading, Mathematics, E.S.L., etc.)

Check ONE of the following to indicate the grouping reported on this page:
. X Regular Term Public School Students ${ }^{\text {S }}$
. Sumer Term Public School Students

## PART D: PROJECT COSTS

1. Total funds expended in the project for the group checked above in this instructional area:
$1_{\text {Estimates as of June 15, } 1975}$
2. Total per-pupil expenditure for this group on this thstructional area:
$\$ 81,037,00$

- $\$ 55$ :85\%.
\$516.16**
*Direct and Indirect \%Direct Only

PART E: NHBER AND CLASSIFICATION OF PERSOANEL EMPIOYED WITH TITLE I FUND FOR THIS INSTRUCTIOM,


* If personnel assist in seryeral project components, pro-rate salaries to separate Part E reports.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Reproduce this page in order to complete a separate PART D PART E for each grouping listed below for each Instructional Area in your projecters INSTRUCTIONA, AREA: $\qquad$ (1.e., Reading, Nathematics, E.S.L., etc.)

Check ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ONE of the following to indicate the grouping reported on this page: . X Regular Term Public School. Sțudents Sumer Term Public School Students

Regular Term Non-Public School Students Summer Term Non-Public School Students

PART D. PROJECT COSTS :

1. Total funds expended in the project for the group checked above in this instxuctional area: 1 .
$\$ 287,125.00$
${ }^{1}$ Estimates as of June 15, 1975
2. Total per-pupil expenditure for this group in this instructional area:
o. $\$ 632.43 \% \%$
*Direct and Indirect .**Direct Only

PART E: NOPRER ASD CLASSIFICITION OF PERSOYNEL ERPLOYED WITH TITLE I FUND FOR THIS LASTRUCTHO:AL AREA *

| Type of Persennel $\quad \because$ | Total <br> Rersonnel. | FTE | Total Salaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuachine Prewinderparten |  |  |  |
| Tcachirs lincerazren* | $T$ |  | 9 |
| Teachins Elewintaty | T |  | - - |
| Teachins Seconcay | 18.0 | 17.54: | 172,508 |
| T'cachine - ioces. only | -1 | 12. |  |
| Teacher Aide - Elem. | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taacher Aide - Secondary | 4.0 | 4.0 | 13,724. |
| Supervisín | 1.0 | $\because 0.05$ | 3,898 |
| Direction \& \%mat. (edzin.) |  |  |  |
| Counselin? |  |  | ¢ |
| Psycholarist \& Testing | 1.0 | . 13 | -_2, 827 |
| Clerical ( T itle F only) | 12.0 | 4.52 | -26,374 |
| Social Wor: Commanity Aide | 4.0 | 2.67 | 2, 248 |
| Attendance |  |  |  |
| Other: | 1.0 | . 13. | $703$ |
| TOTAL NUMBER-OF ASSIGMEHTS, ETE AND SALARIES | 41 | 29.04 | $\$ 229,282$ |

* If personnel assist in seryeral project components, pro-rate salaries to separate Part E reports.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Reproduce, this page in order to complete a-separate PART D PART ${ }^{\circ}$ E for each grouping listed below for each Instructional Area in-your project:

INSTRUCTIONAL.AREA: Reading (St...Mary's)
(1.c., Reading', Mathematics, E.S.L., etc.)

Check ONE of the following to indicate the grouping repotted on this page:
\Megular Term publič School Stùdents
Sumaer Term Public School Students" Regular Term Non-Public School Students Summer Term Non-Public School, Students

## PART D: PROJECT COSTS

1. . Total funds expended in the project for the group checked above in this instructional area: 1
$\mathbf{l}_{\text {Estimates }}$ as of June 15, 1975
2. Total per-pupil expenditure for this group in this instructional area:

$\$ 538.00 \% *$
*Direct and Indirect: **Direct Only

PART. E: NORPER AND CLASSIFICATION OF PERSOMEL EMPLOYED WTTM TITLE I FUNS FOR THIS INSTRUCTIONAL AREA*


* If personnel assist in serveral project components, pro-rate salaries to separate Part E reports.



## PARTI F: NEEDS ASSESEMEITT FOR EDUCATTOMALLY DEPRIVED PUPILS

Check (X) in the appropriate space (s) to indicate how needs were deternined.
3. Teacher recomicndation
2. Counselor recomendation
3. Healoh officer recommendation
4. Social worker recominendatidn.
5. Librarian recommendation
6. Administrative survey
7. Sțandardized Test results
8. Conference' with parent
9. Advisory Council? member recommendation
10. Other (specify) Criterion-xeferenced test

|  | $1 \times$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | X |
|  |  |
| 4. | X |
| 5. |  |
| 6. | X |
| - 7. | $\mathrm{X}^{\text {j }}$ |
| 8. | " X |
| 9. | X |
| 10. | X | resultis \& title I students

PART G: PARENT ADVISORY GOUNCLL PARTICIPATION TN THE TITLE I PROJECT
11. Number of PAC mectings held.

Regular Term $\qquad$ Sunmer $\qquad$ 4.
12. Average number of parents attending each meeting.

Regular Term 9.3
Summer $\qquad$

PART H: PARENT ADVISORY GOUNCIL INVOLVEMENT IN THE TITLE I PROJECT
Check (X) in the appropriate space (s) to indicate parent participation.
13. Assisted in determining needs
14. Assisted in planning the Title I Project
15. Individual conferences on needs, procedures
16. Group miceting about Title I activities
17. Group mectings to explain how parents could help.
18. Parental visits to Title I activities
19. Home visites by Title I personnel to explain Tittle I activities and how parents could help

20. Nurse conferences with parents concerning health problems of litle I children
21. little or no participation
20.
21. $\qquad$

## PART I: DISSEMLNTION TECHNIQUES

Check ( $X$ ) in the appropriate space(s) to show teciniqes (s) used and enclose sampes, if appropriate.
22. Nembers. of Advisory Council

23, Locel. repofts.
24. Newspaper meleases.

25: Pretures
26. Presentabion to local instructional staff
22.
27. Presentation to social or civic community groups
28. Publi己ation ih professional joürnal
29. Radiò presentations
$\%$
$30 \therefore$ Tapes :
31. Television prosentations
23.
24.
25.
.26

27.
28.
29.
30.
31.



PART J: USE OF ATDES
I: Number of aides employed with Title I funds $\qquad$ 18

- 2. Please describe in a short statement your program of teächer teacher aide an-service training. $\qquad$
A11 teachers and teacher aides are given a halfoday in-seryice.
session at the beginning of the school year. Additional /n-service
training is provided by the Title I coordinators at each site.
$\qquad$

‥ 4. Please attach any material you might have to further explain your teacher - teacher aide in-service.

PART K: IOENTIFYING TXTLE $T$ PROBLEM AREAS Ratedeach of the itens below by checking colurans according to the extent they posed a problen in the operation of your project from "major" to "no", probkera.

## LOCAL LEPEL PROBLEMS

32. Negative raaction in the community to Federal fruhds.
33. Identifying eligible children
34., Identification of pupil needs
34. Designing project to meet pupil needs.
35. Inability to obtain qualified staff
36. Lack of oppropriate evaluation devices
37. Lack of school facilities or space for garrying out project activities.
38. : Shortage of administrayive staff to plan and . supervise the project.
39. Difficulty in providing In-service training of staff
40. Difficulty involving parents
41. Difficuity involving local advisory council
42. List and rate any other problems below | Lack of evaluation support to properly |
| :--- |
| Complete even basje forins - Minor |
| Problem |



STATE LEVEE PROBLEHS*
44. Limitations ifiposed by Federal and State regulations and guidelines
45. Excessive-paper work
46. Delay between submission and approval of project:
$47^{*}$ Delay in allocation announcement
48. Poor físcal accounting procedures
49. Inadequate Title I funds
50. Inadequate assistance from. Title I staff.
51. Delay in financial payments by county
52. Inadequate planning timec
53. Inadequate monitoring by Title $I$ stafe
54. List and raze any other problems below
$\qquad$


Wue to a reduction in state level staff from 7 to 4 , Title I proposals have not been procesş̉ effectively.


Compensatory education as provided by ESEA Title $I$ funds has assisted 3,171 students during the 1974,75 school year in becuning: unore proficient in the basic skills of Reading, Englishfiriting and " Mathematics. - Suppletnentsry funds for learning. experieaces specifically designed to alleviate deficiences in these àreas have been proyided. Without this assistanco, it is likely that many of the target students would have cither failed to make thése gains, or would have dropped cut of school.

Gains were accomplistied in every subject area at each target site. The objective of having $50 \%$ of the students gain one month in academic achievement for each month in the progrant was achieved in most cases. Students, who received direct. Title I assistance gerrerally made as mach progress as students who received indirect Title I assistance. . These results were observed even though the direct' title I students' pretest , scores indicate that they, had achieved less in their past experiences than the indirect Title I studerts.
concension

It was necessary for students to have, at least "average" gains in order to meet the objectives. contained in the 1974275 Title I proposal. $5^{\circ}$ This is' a commendable effort in that the target students historically only gained one-half month to two-thixds of a month for each month in school. In other words, it appears that students who participated, in the Title I, prógrams had greater achievement gains then would have be'en expected without any supplementary assistance. This evaluation did not provide data to obtain an assessment-of the degree to which the Title I assistance contributed to the student gains.

Although most all progranis were ${ }^{\text {highly, successful in terms of }}$ student achievement gains, the Continuing Education Center staff ${ }^{4}$ is to be conmended for the teetention rate of students attending the Continuing Education Center. Approximately $85 \%$ of the students enrolling completed the semester. Thus, for these students a dropoutirate near 100\% was reduced to about $15 \%$.

It is hoped that the instructional, management, and evaluation components of the Title I programs till continue to be modified and'improved to provide maximua benefits ṫo those students in need of compenşatory education programs.

The following recompendations are made relative to the Phoenix Union Hitg School System's continued effort to provide an effective educational program for Title $I$ targef students:

1. Consideration stould be given to selecting students with tests other than the tests used for eyaluating student progress. Using the same tests introduces the problem of regression loward the mean. This implies thet part of. the students' change in scores from pre to posttesting ais attuibutable to this regression and not to learning. "The, possibility of using beñ grade cribetion-referenced tests for this purpose shofld be investigated.
2. Levels of the standardized tests should be used which avoid ceilifg effects. . The reported gains for Social Studies and Science at the Centinuing Education Ceenter may be lower than the actual gains since some students scored nearly perfect on the pretest and were posttested with the same level of the test.
3. In its present ferm, this report is probably not of maximal value to the teachers and administrators of the schools involved in the Title I programs. With additional evalugtion support more "usable" reports could be provided.
$\star$
There is a need to develop an infomation-based evaluation approach. An information-based approach identifies the information which teachers, administrators, parents, or others would lake to recéive; sets priorities for the data or questions to be answered; arid provides decision-makers with the requested information.
4. To minimize interference yith end of the year activities, it is rec ommended that the posttesting be completed and results be reported eakly in May. This will allow teachers nore time in May to complete - other teaching goals.
5. Since the students involved in the Title I project are all basically - at the same functional level, it is suggested that the SEA reconsider : tho value of reporfing data by grade levels within high, school projects.
6. The smallest gains were made by students at, Phoenix Union High School. The 1974-75 Title I Needs Assessment showed that students who attend and will attend Phoenix Union passed'fewer areas on the MERPA than any other + District sehool. Due to the lower starting point of these students, it is not surprising that they made the least gains. Alternative kinds of helf are needed for students at Rhoenix Union High School. - -
7. It is recomended that a report on the outstanding proyran at the Continuing Education Center be developed and disseminated to the - public and professional conmunities.
